

Planning for energy storage

Jeremy Twitchell

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Integrated Distribution System Planning Training for Western States March 19, 2021



Agenda

- Technology Overview
- Services and Valuation
- Recent Energy Storage Policy Development in the West
- Storage in Microgrids



Technology Overview

Storage Technologies: Mechanical



Electricity is stored as potential energy that is later used to generate electricity.

Pumped-Storage Hydro (PSH)



- Long duration (8+ hours)
- Good round-trip efficiency (RTE) – 80%
- Cycle life: 15,000 (40+ years)
- Limited flexibility



Compressed Air Energy Storage

- Long duration (8+ hours)
- Low RTE (~50%)
- Cycle life: 10,000 (~25 years)
 - Limited flexibility

- Short duration (~15 min)
- Very good RTE (~85%)
- Cycle life: 200,000 (~20 years)

Storage Technologies: Electrochemical

Electricity is stored in chemical bonds and later released.

Lithium-ion Batteries



- Short to mid-range duration (0.5 - 4 hours)
- Very good round-trip efficiency (RTE) – 86%
- Cycle life: 3,500 (7-10 years)
- Highly flexible
- Developed supply chain
- Safety concerns
- Recycling challenges



- Moderate durations (4-8 hours)
- Moderate round-trip efficiency (RTE) – 70%
- Cycle life: 10,000 (15-20 years)
- Highly flexible
- Improved safety
- Highly recyclable
- Mechanical challenges

Sodium Batteries



- Moderate durations (4-6 hours)
- Good round-trip efficiency (RTE) – 75%
- Cycle life: 4,000 (10-13 years)
- Highly flexible
- High-temperature ops



Storage Technologies: Thermal



Electricity is stored by heating/cooling air or another medium for energy management or electricity production.

Ice thermal storage Furger and the storage st



- Moves energy through time, but does not inject electricity into the grid
- Moderate duration (4-6 hours)
- Can shift up to 95% of HVAC loads to off-peak

Concentrating Solar



- Longer duration (6-12 hours)
- Very good RTE 86% (Hameer and Van Niekerk 2016)
- Moderate life (20-30 years)
- Moderately flexible (turbine generator)

Current Installed Capacity – U.S.



Total Energy Storage Capacity



Pumped Hydro	22.6 GW			
Battery	0.9 GW			
Thermal	0.7 GW			
Compressed Air	0.1 GW			
Total	24.3 GW			

Total Battery Capacity



Lithium-lon	792 MW		
Lead Acid	49 MW		
Nickel	40 MW		
Sodium	26 MW		
Flow	4 MW		
Flywheel	58 MW		
Capacitor	2 MW		

Sources: DOE Global Energy Storage Database (https://www.sandia.gov/essssl/global-energy-storage-database-home/);

EIA Form 860 Data (https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/eia860/)



Storage Technologies: Additional Information

Paramatar	Sodium	Lilon	Lood Acid	Sodium Metal Halida	Zinc- Hybrid Cathada	Redox
Canital Cast Engage		271 (190)		700 (492)		FIUW
Capital Cost – Energy Capacity (\$/kWh)	661 (465)	2/1 (189)	260 (220)	/00 (482)	265 (192)	555 (393)
Power Conversion System (\$/kW)	350 (211)	288 (211)	350 (211)	350 (211)	350 (211)	350 (211)
Balance of Plant (\$/kW)	100 (95)	100 (95)	100 (95)	100 (95)	100 (95)	100 (95)
Construction and Commission Cost (\$/kWh)	133 (127)	101 (96)	176 (167)	115 (110)	173 (164)	190 (180)
Total Project Cost (\$/kW)	3,626 (2,674)	1,876 (1,446)	2,194 (1,854)	3,710 (2,674)	2,202 (1,730)	3,430 (2,598)
Total Project Cost (\$/kWh)	907 (669)	469 (362)	549 (464)	928 (669)	551 (433)	858 (650)
O&M Fixed (\$/kW-yr)	10 (8)	10 (8)	10 (8)	10 (8)	10 (8)	10 (8)
O&M Variable Cents/kWh	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
System Round-Trip Efficiency (RTE)	0.75	0.86	0.72	0.83	0.72	0.675 (0.7)
Annual RTE Degradation Factor	0.34%	0.50%	5.40%	0.35%	1.50%	0.40%
Response Time (limited by PCS)	1 sec	1 sec	1 sec	1 sec	1 sec	1 sec
Cycles at 80% Depth of Discharge	4,000	3,500	900	3,500	3,500	10,000
Life (Years)	13.5	10	2.6 (3)	12.5	10	15
MRL	9 (10)	9 (10)	9 (10)	7 (9)	6 (8)	8 (9)
TRL	8 (9)	8 (9)	8 (9)	6 (8)	5 (7)	7 (8)

Table 4.3. Summary of Compiled Findings by Technology Type – BESS^(a)

(a) An E/P ratio of 4 hours was used for battery technologies when calculating total costs.

MRL = manufacturing readiness level; O&M = operations and maintenance; TRL = technology readiness level.

Mongird et al, *Energy Storage Technology and Cost Characterization Report*. <u>http://energystorage.pnnl.gov/pdf/PNNL-28866.pdf</u>. Battery storage prices are generally given based on the battery itself, but interconnecting the device to the grid and allowing for control and interoperability requires additional infrastructure (and cost).



Example 4-hour lithium-ion project

DOE/EPRI 2013 Electricity Storage Handbook in Collaboration with NRECA

Total all-in cost for a 4-hour li-ion battery: \$469/kWh, \$1,876/kW



Services and Valuation

Energy Storage Services





Energy Storage Values

\$400

\$300

\$200

\$100

\$0

MIS0

New England

New York

ainotila

SERVICE VALUE (\$/kW-year)



Texas Ericci Multi-Regional

Energy Storage Values

Northwest



A Different Paradigm: Embedded Energy Storage



Electricity is unique among commodities in that its "supply chain" was developed without a storage component.

- Every other commodity has the ability to store excess quantities built into its supply chain warehouses, granaries, reservoirs, etc.
- Embedded storage creates a buffer between mismatches in supply and demand, stabilizing prices and protecting customers.

Question: Now that we have the technology to store electricity, should we retrofit the grid with it?

- To date, energy storage has been added "on the margins" to impart flexibility to the grid.
- If storage were embedded infrastructure, similar to a substation or a transformer, it could act as a natural buffer between mismatches in supply and demand and the grid would *be* flexible.

First report available <u>here</u>; watch PNNL's <u>Grid Architecture</u> site for additional reports in the series

Embedded Storage in the Natural Gas System

A simple comparison: Natural gas and electricity

Simple assumptions: City has average daily demand of 1 million cubic feet (CF) of natural gas, peaking to 1.5 million CF; average electricity demand of 100 MW, peaking to 125 MW



Adapted from Aspen Environmental Group

Result: Embedded storage on the natural gas system means that pipelines upstream of the storage facility can be sized based on average demand, and only downstream pipelines need to be sized based on peak.

On the electric side, the entire system (generation, transmission, and distribution) serving the city must be designed based on peak demand.



Theoretically, embedded storage could facilitate more efficient design and use of the electric grid and reduce costs by managing volatility (no daily price swings, reduced need for ancillary services, etc.).

Several regulatory barriers exist:

- Lack of underlying standards (not contemplated in reliability standards; no resilience standards)
- Inclusion in planning processes (regional transmission planning processes are generally slow to integrate new technologies)
- Ownership models (security and control issues complicate third-party ownership)
- Compensation structure (current market structures not designed to value this kind of service; lack of standards means it's difficult to make a case for it as a regulated asset)
- Metrics (how do you measure a buffer?)

Valuing this type of asset is something we're just starting to think about:

- How would you quantify its impacts on the grid and compensate them?
- Valuation will be the focus of a forthcoming paper.



Recent Energy Storage Policy Development in the West

State Policymaking Is Accelerating and Diversifying



Energy Storage Policy Database



In recent years, several states have begun to identify and address barriers to energy storage. PNNL tracks these policies in an interactive database available at https://energystorage.pnnl.gov/regulatoryactivities.asp.

Energy Storage Policy Database



The policy database tracks five types of state-level energy storage policies:

- Procurement targets
- Regulatory adaptation
- Demonstration programs
- Financial incentives
- Consumer protection

Arizona: A procurement target is much more than a number.

Additional steps in place:

- **Commercial incentives:** \$2 million for commercial behind-themeter (BTM) storage projects (rate case settlement)
- **Residential incentives:** APS pilot incentive program for residential energy storage
- Storage aggregation: In Dec. 2020, the ACC ordered APS to develop a tariff to permit third-party aggregation of distributed demand-side resources, including storage, and provide compensation for multiple values. The tariff is under development (Docket E-01345A-19-0148).

Additional steps proposed:

- Target disaggregation: The proposed target would require 40% of the storage to be customer-owned or customer-leased.
- **Decarbonization link:** Proposed reporting requirements would include documentation of the charging energy for storage.
- Planning reforms: The proposal would provide more transparency in load forecasting and identifying resource needs.
 - Procurement reforms: All-source RFIs would be required to inform planning assumptions.

Target:

- Under the state constitution. the Arizona Corporation Commission has broad authority over state energy policy.
- A majority (4-1) of commissioners supported a storage target of 5% of 2035 peak load in November 2020, as part of a vote to decarbonize the Arizona grid by 2050.
- The proposal is now subject to a formal rulemaking proceeding.



Property-Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) programs:

- Allow property owners to finance clean energy investments on their property tax bills, secured by a county lien
- Enable long-term, low-cost financing of capital projects that might otherwise be cost-prohibitive
- For commercial or residential properties, or both (see <u>Lessons in Commercial PACE Leadership: The</u> <u>Path from Legislation to Launch</u>; <u>Assessing the PACE of California residential solar deployment</u>)</u>
- Require state legislation and local action

Washington created a statewide <u>Commercial Property-Assessed Clean Energy and Resilience</u> (C-PACER) program in 2020 that counties can voluntarily join.

- Open to all agricultural, industrial, commercial, and multifamily residential facility owners
- Unique focus on resilience investments supports investments in a broader array of technologies and facility retrofits; in addition to energy efficiency and distributed generation, it includes energy storage, microgrids, seismic retrofits, floodwater management, and wildfire resistance.
- Includes greenhouse gas reductions as a prescribed metric for project evaluations
- Allows counties to contract with public or private entities for program management

California: Storage in Regional Transmission Planning

Background:

- FERC Order 1000 (2011) requires utilities with interstate transmission systems to participate in coordinated regional transmission planning processes (note: this applies to vertically integrated utilities as well)
- Order 1000 also requires transmission planners to consider non-transmission alternatives at the request of stakeholders
- Recognizing the multi-faceted capabilities of energy storage, FERC issued a policy statement in 2017 encouraging the deployment of energy storage as a dual-use (transmission and generation) asset





California: Storage in Regional Transmission Planning

- The California Independent System Operator (CAISO) became the first region to identify storage in a regional transmission plan, identifying two projects (one as transmission, one in place of transmission) in its 2018 plan.
 - Storage as Transmission: Dinuba, CA
 - 2010 Plan: A potential contingency scenario that would overload the local transmission system would require \$16M to reconductor for 10 miles.
 - 2018 Plan: Overloads could be managed by an energy storage system at an estimated cost of \$14M.
- As a transmission asset, the storage system's costs will be recovered through CAISO's FERC-approved transmission system rates, and it will not participate in energy markets.







Storage in Microgrids

Storage and Microgrids: A New Resilience Paradigm



The advent of cost-competitive energy storage options has enabled us to go from:



- Limited to facilities in which resilience is mission critical
- Viable resilience for broader range of facilities

Questions States Can Ask



- Are planning tools accounting for the granular values and operational characteristics of energy storage technologies?
- Are tariffs and rates in place to incent customers who deploy storage and other distributed energy resources to use those assets in a way that benefits the grid and reduces system costs?
- Can tariffs be used to incent development and grid-beneficial use of microgrids?
- What plans are in place for end -of-life disposal of battery assets?
- Absent resilience metrics, how can planning processes be adapted to meet resilience goals in a cost-effective manner?
- Are there opportunities for furthering state goals through increased engagement in regional planning processes?

Resources for More Information



- Y Xu, CC Liu, KP Schneider, FK Tuffner, DT Ton, <u>Microgrids for Service Restoration to</u> <u>Critical Load in a Resilient Distribution System</u>
- Schneider et al., <u>Preliminary Design Process for Networked Microgrids</u>
- M Armendariz et al., <u>Coordinated Microgrid Investment and Planning Processes</u> <u>Considering the System Operator</u>
- JB Twitchell, RS O'Neil, MT McDonnell, <u>Planning Considerations for Energy Storage in</u> <u>Resilience Applications</u>
- B Ward et al., <u>The Advanced Microgrid: Integration and Operability</u>
- Schwartz, Lisa C, and Greg Leventis. <u>Grid-Interactive Efficient Buildings: An Introduction</u> for State and Local Governments
- Eckman, Tom, Lisa C Schwartz, and Greg Leventis. <u>Determining Utility System Value of</u> <u>Demand Flexibility From Grid-interactive Efficient Buildings</u>
- Schiller, Steven R, Lisa C Schwartz, and Sean Murphy. <u>Performance Assessments of</u> <u>Demand Flexibility from Grid-Interactive Efficient Buildings: Issues and Considerations</u>
- PNNL energy storage site: <u>https://www.pnnl.gov/energy-storage</u>
- Sandia National Laboratories energy storage site: <u>https://www.sandia.gov/ess-ssl/</u>
- LBNL Electricity Markets & Policy Department: <u>https://emp.lbl.gov/</u>



Questions?

Jeremy Twitchell jeremy.twitchell@pnnl.gov 971-940-7104