



ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

Behavioral Aspects in Simulating the Future US Building Energy Demand

*Michael Stadler, Chris Marnay, Nicholas DeForest,
Florence Bonnet and Judy Lai*

**Environmental Energy
Technologies Division**

**Presented at the 4th Annual Behavior, Energy and Climate Change
Conference, November 15, 2010, Hyatt Regency, Sacramento,
California, USA, and published in the Conference Proceedings**

<http://eetd.lbl.gov/EA/EMP/emp-pubs.html>

The work described in this presentation was funded by the Planning, Budget, and Analysis section of the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, and Distributed Systems Integration Program in the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DEAC02-05CH11231.

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

Behavioral Aspects in Simulating the Future US Building Energy Demand

Michael Stadler
mstadler@lbl.gov

LBNL SEDS Team:
Chris Marnay, Nicholas DeForest,
Florence Bonnet and Judy Lai

BECC Conference
Sacramento, CA
Nov. 15, 2010





Overview

Limitations of Representing Consumer Behavior in a National Energy Forecast Model

- Model background & functionality
- Representation of choice
- Assessing our approach
- Relative impact of behavioral parameters
- Remaining Concerns



What is SEDS/SBEAM?

Stochastic Energy Deployment System


- National forecast model for economy-wide energy use
- Multi-laboratory collaboration (NREL, LBNL, PNNL, ANL, Lumina)
- Programmed in Analytica® to incorporate uncertainty
- Built to assess impact of US DOE program funding (GPRA)
- Open-source and executable on personal computer

Stochastic Buildings Energy & Adoption Model

- Standalone SEDS module for US commercial & residential buildings
- Driven by demand for building services not energy (e.g. lumen-hours, HDD)
- Includes interaction between passive and active technologies




What is SBEAM?




SBEAM Functionality



Commercial Electricity Demand Forecast




Commercial Lighting Equipment Marketshare




SBEAM Functionality



Commercial Electricity Demand Forecast




Commercial Lighting Equipment Marketshare






Structure of SBEAM





Structure of SBEAM




Where does consumer behavior and choice matter?

Prices, costs, independence for commercial and residential sectors



Structure of SBEAM





How Is Choice Represented?

Logit Function

- Marketshare (MS_i)

$$MS_i = \frac{v_i}{\sum_i v_i}$$

- Utility (v_i)

$$v_i = e^{(-\alpha \cdot AC_i)}$$

Market share

Highest marketshare awarded to technology with the highest utility

Utility

Utility determined by annualized cost (AC) of technology and alpha factor



How Is Choice Represented?

Logit Function

- Marketshare (MS_i)

$$MS_i = \frac{v_i}{\sum_i v_i}$$

- Utility (v_i)

$$v_i = e^{(-\alpha \cdot MA_i)}$$

- Multi-attribute value (MA_i)

$$MA_i = LC_i - S_{h,i} - S_{c,i} - S_{l,i}$$

- Technology interaction
 - S_h - savings in heating
 - S_c - savings in cooling
 - S_l - savings in lighting

i : index over technologies

LC_i : levelized cost for i

Multi-attribute


In the case of building shell, multi-attribute value includes savings from interaction with building services

α

Tunable parameter to represent consumer sensitivity to differences in price




Determining α




Example: On-site PV

Historical PV price and adoption levels



Historical effective α




Projection of α to 2050

Limitation:
 10 year historic data to project 40 year forecast



α Sensitivity

Total floorspace (com. & res.) served by window type







α Sensitivity

Total floorspace (com. & res.) served by window type

$$\alpha \times 0.5$$






- Low Insulating
- Highly Insulating
- Dynamic
- Combined



α Sensitivity

Total floorspace (com. & res.) served by window type

$\alpha \times 1$








α Sensitivity

Total floorspace (com. & res.) served by window type

$\alpha \times 2$





Assessing Our Approach

Disadvantages

- Too simple?
- Ignores other motivations
 - Upfront capital cost
 - “Greenness” (CO₂)
- Assumes attentive consumer
- Ignores important phenomena
 - Reduction in service demand in response to energy prices
 - Split incentives (building owner/building operator)
 - Conservation trends

Advantages


- Simple implementation
- Executes quickly
- Easy to calibrate
- Applicable to all enduses
- Policy & service levers

How much does behavior matter?



Relative Importance


Total off-site energy demand (2030)





Relative Importance


Total off-site energy demand (2030)





Relative Importance

Total off-site energy demand (2030)






Relative Importance

Total off-site energy demand (2030)

Energy demand scales with floorspace.
Forecast of floorspace is driven by GDP and Population.

Accurately representing the elasticities of this relationship is much more impactful than consumer sensitivity (α) to price in technology adoption decision process.





Issues going forward

- Is the logit approach “good enough”?
- New methods for decision making?
- Estimating uncertainty in behavior
- Multi-service technology adoption
- Calibrating market trends of emerging technologies

Suggestions and critiques to improve
SBEAM methods welcome