



LBNL Report Release Webinar

Sandra Adomatis Ben Hoen November 12, 2015



This work was supported by the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (Solar Energy Technologies Office) of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231

Webinar Questions

- Because of the large number of registrants for today's webinar, questions will be handled via the chat window after the presentation is complete.
- They will be answered in the order they are received.
- If there is not time to answer all of the questions during the 1-hour webinar, they will be answered via email.







- Meet The Authors & Appraisers
- **Background:** Why Is This Important?
- Previous Literature: What Needs To Be Studied?
- LBNL Research: Appraising Into The Sun Results?
- Conclusions: What Do We Know Now?
- Upcoming LBNL Research: What Do We Do Next?





Sandra K. Adomatis, SRA, LEED Green Associate



- Author
- Real Estate Appraiser SRA Designation with Appraisal Institute
- REALTOR
- Appraisal Institute Instructor
- LEED Green Associate U.S. Green Building Council
- National Speaker on High Performance Houses
- Co-Author of "Selling Into The Sun"



Ben Hoen Staff Research Associate



ELECTRICITY MARKETS & POLICY GROUP

- Researcher at Berkeley Lab, a primarily DOE funded research lab
- Work focuses on renewable energy and public acceptance
- Lead author of landmark 2009 study investigating PV home premiums
- Work has appeared in leading economic and real estate journals
- Quoted in Wall Street Journal, Boston Globe, NPR, Bloomberg News, NBC
- Degrees in Finance, Business and Environmental Policy
- Lead Author of "Selling Into The Sun"





emp.lbl.gov

Meet The Appraisers

Sarah S. Houston, Oregon CRA & Accredited Green Appraiser (AGA) Sam Houston Appraisers

> Jay Kimmel, SRA, Kimmel Appraisal Group Kimmel Appraisal Group

> > Joel G. Tate, SRA, RAA Tate & Company Inc.

Taylor Watkins Watkins & Associates

Lynn A. Dordahl, MBA 31915 Rancho California Road, Suite 200, Temecula, CA 92591

> John F. Szymanski John F Szymanski, Appraisers







- Meet The Authors & Appraisers
- **Background:** Why Is This Important?
- Previous Literature: What Needs To Be Studied?
- LBNL Research: Appraising Into The Sun Results?
- Conclusions: What Do We Know Now?
- Upcoming LBNL Research: What Do We Do Next?





PV Is More Affordable Than Ever

Average PV System Gross Installed Costs



m

As Well There Has Been Stable National Policy & Market Innovations

- Federal Uncapped 30% ITC has been in place since 2008
- State policies have been progressive and relatively stable
- Leases, PPAs, and Solar Loans have proliferated (see right)





Sources: GTM & SEIA, 2015; Heeter, Barbose et al, 2014



Leading To Over 725,000 US Residential Installations Through Q2 2015



• 725,000 = < 1% of US Housing Stock



,,,,,,,,

In Some Locations 10-20% Of The Homes Have Solar

Example From Massachusetts



Installed PV systems as percent of total Owner-occupied household

| 0.0% - 1.1% |
|---------------|
| 1.2% - 3.1% |
| 3.2% - 6.9% |
| 7.0% - 18.2% |
| 18.3% - 32.6% |



Source: Massachusetts Clean Energy Center Production Tracking System





In Some Locations 10-20% Of The Homes Have Solar

Example From San Diego









Home Buyers Want Green Features In General And Solar In Particular



12% Say Solar Is "Important"







- Meet The Authors & Appraisers
- **Background:** Why Is This Important?
- **Previous Literature:** What Needs To Be Studied?
- LBNL Research: Appraising Into The Sun Results?
- Conclusions: What Do We Know Now?
- Upcoming LBNL Research: What Do We Do Next?





But What About The Value Of These Homes?









Host-Owned PV Systems Have Been Shown to Command a Price Premium in the Marketplace

Based on Large Scale Statistical Studies



Farhar & Coburn, 2008; Dastrup et al., 2011; Hoen et al., 2011; 2012; 2013; 2015





Appraiser Led Small Scale Studies Have Resulted In Similar Findings





Desmarais, 2013; Watkins, 2011



Prior To 2015, There Were Significant Limitations To That Literature

- Appraiser led studies had been conducted in only two states
- Appraisal results had not been compared directly to statistical results
- Time on the market had only been looked at in one study
- Appraisal results had not been compared to both income and cost approaches
- Cost approach, taking into account incentives, had not been examined in a non-statistical study
- An examination of the percent of solar sales in a market that would be good for paired-sales analysis had not been conducted





Having Expanded Valuation Methods Accepted By Practitioners and Institutions Is Needed



Institutional Support Exists Recognizing Solar's Value And Encouraging Its Appraisal

FHA Single Family Housing Policy Handbook Table of Contents 1 FHA Single Family Housing Policy Handbook TABLE OF CONTENTS II. FHA SINGLE FAMILY INSURED HOUSING PROGRAMS. 3 FannieMae. 4 B. TITLE II FORWARD MORTGAGES. 5 1. Origination Through Post-Closing/Endorsement a. INTRODUCTION. 6 b. ORIGINATION/PROCESSING 8 i. Applications and Disclosures... 0 (A) Contents of the Mortgage Application Package 10 General Requirements. 11 (a) Maximum Age of Mortgage Application Documents . 12 (i) Generally. 13 (ii) Appraisal Validity (b) Handling of Documents. 14 15 (i) Information Sent to the Mortgagee Electronically Selling Guide 16 (ii) Information Obtained via Internet 17 (iii)Confidentiality Policy for Credit Information 18 (c) Signature Requirements for all Application Forms 19 (i) Prohibition on Documents Signed in Blank ... Fannie Mae Single Family 20 (ii) Policy on Use of Electronic Signatures ... (2) Mortgage Application and Initial Supporting Documentation 21 (a) URLA and Addendum to the URLA ... 22 23 (b) Mortgage Application Name Requirements Published December 16, 2014 24 (i) Standard. 25 (ii) Documentation. 26 Borrower Authorization for Verification Information (3) 27 (a) Borrower's Authorization 28 (i) Standard ... 29 (ii) Documentation. 30 (b) Form HUD-92900-A Part IV: Borrower Consent for Social Security 31 Administration to Verify Social Security Number 32 (c) Tax Verification Form or Equivalent ... 33 (4) Borrower's Authorization for Use of Information Protected under the 34 Privacy Act... 35 Sales Contract and Supporting Documentation 36 (a) Sales Contract 37 (i) Standard 38 (ii) Documentation 39 (b) Statement of Appraised Value 40 (B) Disclosures and legal compliance... 41 HUD Required Disclosures. 42 (a) Informed Consumer Choice Disclosure... 43 (b) Form HUD-92900-B, Important Notice to Homebuyers. November 5, 2013





- Meet The Authors & Appraisers
- **Background:** Why Is This Important?
- Previous Literature: What Needs To Be Studied?
- LBNL Research: Appraising Into The Sun Results?
- Conclusions: What Do We Know Now?
- Upcoming LBNL Research: What Do We Do Next?





DOE Funded LBNL To Conduct Research To Help Fill Those Gaps



- Work started in late 2014
- Completed late 2015
- Involved 7 appraisers
- Covered sales in 6 states
- Used sales analyzed in "Selling Into The Sun"
- Focused on Host-Owned Systems



Many Transactions Were Not Usable For Paired Sales Analysis

We Gave Appraisers 208 PV "Most Recent" Sales Across Their Markets

No Pair Could Be Found



Final Dataset: 43 PV Sales In 6 States

| | | Final Set of Paired Solar |
|-------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| State | Market | Home Sales |
| CA | San Diego Metro Area | 13 |
| FL | Gulf Coast | 4 |
| MD | Baltimore Metro Area | 3 |
| NC | Raleigh Metro Area | 7 |
| OR | Portland Metro Area | 9 |
| OR | Bend Metro Area | 2 |
| PA | Southeast Portion | 5 |
| | Total | 43 |





A Paired Sales Analysis Was Conducted On Each Transaction

Six State Study of Solar PV Sales Price Premiums

Paired Sale #34 - 3222 NE 51st Ave.

| Features | 3222 NE 51st Ave. | 3215 NE 45th Ave. | Adjustment | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | 97213 | 97213 | | | | |
| MLS/Tax ID/Source | 12214668 | 12158180 | | | | |
| Date of Sale | 7/2/2012 | 7/11/2012 | 0 | | | |
| Sale Price | \$467,900 | | \$452,000 | | | |
| \$/SF of Living Area | 330.4 | 294 | | | | |
| SF of Living Area | 1416 | 1538 | -6100 | | | |
| Lot Size | 5000 | 5000 | | | | |
| Site/View | - | | | | | |
| House Style | 1 1/2 story | 1 1/2 story | | | | |
| Number of Stories | - | | | | | |
| Actual Age – Eff Age | 83 | 87 | | | | |
| Condition | Good | Good | | | | |
| Room Count – Total | 7/3/2 | 7/3/2 | | | | |
| Bedroom-Bathroom | | | | | | |
| Basement Sq. Ft. | 750 | 896 | | | | |
| Finished Basement Sq. Ft. | 750 | 0 | 9100 | | | |
| Heat/Air Conditioning | FA/None | FA/None | | | | |
| Garage -# Cars | 2 | 1 | 6000 | | | |
| Amenities/porches/patio/ | Por/Dk | Por/Dk | | | | |
| Pool – tennis cts | - | | | | | |
| Solar PV-Size-Age | 2.94kW - 1.5 | | | | | |
| Other -outbuildings | | | | | | |
| Other | 1FP | 1FP | | | | |
| Adjusted Sales Price | \$467,900 | | \$461,000 | | | |
| Indicated Price/Watt | \$6,900 or \$2.35 per Watt | | | | | |
| Gross Cost/Watt | \$5.46/Watt | | | | | |
| Net Cost/Watt \$1.83/Watt | | | | | | |



- Adjustments for non-PV differences are made based on local market
- Any remaining difference is attributed to the solar system
- Estimates using Income and Cost Methods are also generated
- Each pair is reviewed by at least one other appraiser
- Appraisers also determine the Time On The Market for the transactions to occur





Data Spanned A Wide Set Of Characteristics and Prices

| | Minimum | | Median (50th) | | Mean | | Maximum | |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Sale Price | \$ | 150,000 | \$ | 405,000 | \$ | 431,964 | \$ | 1,050,000 |
| Sale Year | | 2010 | | 2012 | | 2012 | | 2014 |
| System Size (watts) | | 1000 | | 3850 | | 3783 | | 9600 |
| System Age (Years) | | 0.0 | | 2.2 | | 2.7 | | 11.4 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Income Estimate (\$/watt) | | 1.01 | \$ | 2.03 | \$ | 2.46 | \$ | 4.42 |
| Gross Cost Estimate (\$/watt) | | 4.00 | \$ | 5.46 | \$ | 5.48 | \$ | 7.30 |
| Net Cost Estimate (\$/watt) | | 1.48 | \$ | 3.32 | \$ | 3.10 | \$ | 4.32 |

\$/Watt = Dollars/Size of the PV System in Watts For Example: \$10,000 Income Estimate for a 5,000 Watt system = \$2/Watt





Premiums Are Clearly Evident Across All States



Note: Premiums apply to average 2012 sales. Sales today, and in other markets, would be based on their respective market characteristics.



correlation of premium (in \$) to size (in watts): 0.54 (p-value 0.000)

.....

Premiums Are Higher Than Income Estimates (Especially OR)

Income Could Be Considered Conservative



premium to income correlation: All cases 0.20 (p 0.18); No OR 0.38 (p 0.03) t-Test: All cases 1.23 (p 0.00); No OR 0.82 (p 0.00)



Premiums Are Most Similar To Net Cost Estimates (But Not OR)

And Not Similar To Gross Cost \$7.00 Premium Net Cost Gross Cost \$6.00 Avg. Price Per Watt of PV \$5.00 \$4.00 \$3.00 \$2.00 \$3.45 \$3.53 \$5.13 \$2.68 \$3.78 \$5.96 \$3.82 \$4.20 \$3.24 \$5.48 \$4.80 \$5.89 \$5.10 \$5.23 \$4.31 \$1.00 Ś-CA All Homes FL MD NC OR PA Note: The error bars around the average income estimate represent the low and high PV Value® estimates

Premium & Net t-Test: All cases 0.65 (p 0.05); No OR 0.09 (p 0.75) Premium & Gross t-Test: All cases -1.72 (p 0.00); No OR 1.98 (p 0.00)



Average Days On The Market Are Not Different For These PV Homes

In Some States PV Homes Sell Slower, In Others Faster





t-Test: All cases -3.72 days (p 0.76)



Comparison Of Methods Paired Sales Vs. Hedonic Pricing Model

- Different methodologies
- Overlapping datasets
- Similar approaches: premiums vs income/cost
- Similar time frames: Paired Sales 2010-2014 Hedonic 2002-2013

Selling Into the Sun: Price Premium Analysis of a Multi-State Dataset of Solar Homes Ben Hoen, Sandra Adomatis, Thomas Jackson, Joshua Graff-Zivin, Mark Thayer, Geoffrey T. Klise, Ryan Wiser Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Devered by Devered by

FOR SALE





Both Studies Tell A Similar Story: Premiums Are Clearly Evident

And Premiums Are Most Similar to Net Cost, Somewhat Similar To Income, And Not To Gross Cost





- Meet The Authors & Appraisers
- **Background:** Why Is This Important?
- Previous Literature: What Needs To Be Studied?
- LBNL Research: Appraising Into The Sun Results?
- **Conclusions:** What Do We Know Now?
- Upcoming LBNL Research: What Do We Do Next?





Conclusions

- In many markets finding sales to pair can be very difficult
- PV consistently adds value (~ \$3.75/watt in our sample for sales in 2012)
- Clear premiums exist in each state sub-sample
- "Net" cost estimates are better proxy than "gross"
- Income estimates are conservative in comparison to yet correlated with premiums
- Results from this study conform to the hedonic study bolstering both
- When no comparable sales are available net cost and income methods are reasonable proxies
- No difference is observed from days on the market

Although we found Premiums in our average 2012 sample, Premiums for other homes would be based on their respective market characteristics at their time of sale.







- Meet The Authors & Appraisers
- **Background:** Why Is This Important?
- Previous Literature: What Needs To Be Studied?
- LBNL Research: Appraising Into The Sun Results?
- Conclusions: What Do We Know Now?
- Upcoming LBNL Research: What Do We Do Next?





Upcoming LBNL Research

- Survey of Buyers/Sellers/Realtors involved in sales of homes with 3rd party owned PV systems (with Center of Sustainable Energy in San Diego) – Soon!
- Statistical analysis of ~ 400 sales of homes with 3rd party owned systems as well as ~ 30 paired sales of those homes (with Sandy, another appraiser, and academic team) – Mid 2016!
- Roadmap describing possible scenarios to have PV system characteristics auto-populate into Multiple Listing Services (with Elevate Energy) – Late 2016!
- Characterization and analysis of commercial PV property market – 2017/2018!





Thank You! Questions?

Sandy Adomatis

Adomatis Appraisal Services 941-505-8783 adomatis@hotmail.com

Ben Hoen

Berkeley Lab 845-758-1896 <u>bhoen@lbl.gov</u>

This work was supported by the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (Solar Energy Technologies Office) of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231





References

- Barbose, G. and Darghouth, N. (2015) Tracking the Sun VIII: An Historical Summary of the Installed Price of Photovoltaics in the United States from 1998 to 2014. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Berkeley, CA. August 2015. 57 pages.
- Dastrup, S. R., Graff Zivin, J., Costa, D. L. and Kahn, M. E. (2012) Understanding the Solar Home Price Premium: Electricity Generation and "Green" Social Status. European Economic Review. 56(5): 961-973.
- Desmarais, L. (2013) The Impact of Photovoltaic Systems on Market Value and Marketability: A Case Study of 30 Single-Family Homes in the North and Northwest Denver Metro Area. Prepared for Colorado Energy Office. May 2013. 319 pages.
- Farhar, B. C. (2008) Advancing a Market for Zero-Energy Homes. Solar Today. January/February 2008 pp. 24-29.
- Hoen, B., Adomatis, S., Jackson, T., Graff-Zivin, J., Thayer, M., Klise, G. T. and Wiser, R. (2015) Selling into the Sun: Price Premium Analysis of a Multi-State Dataset of Solar Homes. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Berkeley, CA. January 19, 2015. 33 pages. LBNL-6942E.
- Hoen, B., Cappers, P., Wiser, R. and Thayer, M. (2011) An Analysis of the Effects of Photovoltaic Energy Systems on Residential Selling Prices in California. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Berkeley, CA. April, 2011. 46 pages. LBNL-4476E.
- Hoen, B., Cappers, P., Wiser, R. and Thayer, M. (2013) Residential Photovoltaic Energy Systems in California: The Effect on Home Sales Prices. Contemporary Economic Policy. 31(4): 708-718.
- SEIA and GTM (2015) U.S. Solar Market Insight Q2 2015. GTM Research (GTM) in Boston MA. Prepared for Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA), Washington, DC.
- Watkins, T. (2011) Market-Based Investigation of Residential Solar Installation Values in Oregon. Watkins & Associates. Prepared for Energy Trust of Oregon, Portland, OR. September, 2011. 15 pages.



