



# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

## APPLIED SCIENCE DIVISION

To be published in the Proceedings  
of the CLIMA 2000 Conference, Copenhagen,  
Denmark, August 25-30, 1985


THE PREDICTION OF AIR INFILTRATION

M. Sherman and B. Dickinson

July 1985

**TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY**

*This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks*



**APPLIED SCIENCE  
DIVISION**

LBL-19040

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Accepted for publication in the Proceedings of the CLIMA 2000 Conference on August 25-30, 1985 in Copenhagen, Denmark.

## THE PREDICTION OF AIR INFILTRATION

Max Sherman and Bruce Dickinson

Applied Science Division  
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

July 1985

---

This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Energy Research and Development, Building Systems Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

## ABSTRACT

Air infiltration is responsible for about one-third of the space conditioning loads in residential buildings; it is also the principal method for maintaining adequate indoor air quality (by diluting internally generated pollutants). Recent recognition of this dichotomy has increased the desire for a simple and reasonably accurate method for estimating air infiltration. This paper describes such a method in a practical manner so that engineers or auditors who are not specially trained in infiltration research can estimate air infiltration. The prediction of air infiltration with this method requires two steps: field measurement of the building properties, and calculation of the infiltration from weather data and the measured properties. In this paper we will describe fan pressurization techniques (e.g. blower door) and how to use them to measure the air tightness of the building envelope, and we will describe the procedures required to make infiltration predictions with the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (LBL) infiltration model.

Key words: Infiltration, Pressurization, Leakage Area.

## Nomenclature

$C$	generalized shielding coefficient. (See Table 1)
$H$	height. [m]
$H_s$	stack height of building. (highest-lowest leak) [m]
$H_t$	height of weather tower. [m] (wind measurement)
$H_w$	wind height of building. (ceiling height above grade) [m]
$K$	leakage coefficient.
$L$	effective leakage area. [m <sup>2</sup> ]
$L_o$	total leakage area of envelope. [m <sup>2</sup> ]
$Q$	air flow (infiltration, ventilation). [m <sup>3</sup> /s]
$Q_4$	air flow at 4 Pa. [m <sup>3</sup> /s]
$Q_s$	stack induced infiltration. [m <sup>3</sup> /s]
$Q_w$	wind induced infiltration. [m <sup>3</sup> /s]
$Q_{weather}$	natural infiltration. [m <sup>3</sup> /s]
$R$	fraction of total leakage area in the floor and ceiling.
$T$	absolute (inside) temperature. [295 K]
$X$	difference in ceiling/floor fractional leakage area.
$\alpha$	terrain coefficient. (See Table 2)
$f_s$	stack factor.
$f_w$	wind factor.
$g$	the acceleration of gravity. [9.8 m/s <sup>2</sup> ]
$\gamma$	terrain exponent of tower (t) and building (w). (See Table 2)
$n$	leakage exponent.
$\rho$	the density of (outside) air. [1.2 kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]
$v$	measured wind speed. [m/s]
$\Delta P$	outside-inside pressure difference. [Pa]
$\Delta P_4$	leakage reference pressure. [4 Pa]
$\Delta T$	inside-outside temperature difference. [K]

## Introduction

Infiltration, the naturally-induced air flow through the building envelope, typically accounts for one-third of the energy load of residential buildings while at the same time acting as the primary mechanism for removing internally-generated pollutants and thus assuring adequate indoor air quality. To minimize energy use one may wish to minimize infiltration, but to insure adequate indoor air quality one may wish to increase infiltration. This apparent contradiction implies that the prediction of infiltration for a new or existing structure can be one of the more critical calculations an engineer or architect can make.

## Fan Pressurization Test Methods

Typically, the fan pressurization method is used to measure the air flow rate at one pressure difference, commonly at 50 Pascal (Pa). The fan pressurization method described in this report is used for determining the effective leakage area of a building. The effective leakage area is a quantity conceptually equivalent to the sum of the areas of all the cracks and holes in the building envelope through which air is able to pass. This quantity is the scale parameter for estimating natural infiltration in the simplified model described below.

Natural infiltration is typically driven by pressure differences across the building envelope in the range of 0 to 10 Pa. Fan pressurization uses a blower door, a door-mounted, variable speed fan capable of moving large volumes (up to 7000 m<sup>3</sup>/hr) of air into or out of a structure and a differential pressure gauge such as an incline manometer. By supplying a constant air flow with the fan, a pressure difference across the building envelope can be maintained. When the differential pressure is held constant, all air flowing through the fan must also be flowing through the building envelope. The influences of wind and temperature difference on the flow and pressure measurements are diminished by making the measurements at pressure differences greater than 10 Pa.

To make the fan pressurization measurement, the blower door is sealed into an exterior doorway, and the pressure gauge is set up with one pressure tap placed outside in a location protected from the wind. The inside pressure tap should be placed out of the direct flow path of the fan. All exterior doors and windows should be closed, and if a fireplace and/or a wood-burning stove are present, make sure that their dampers are

closed to prevent soot from entering the building. All interior doors, except closet doors, should be open. The blower door is then used to blow air into (pressurize) and to suck air out of (depressurize) the building at a series of fixed pressure differentials (e.g., from 10 to 70 Pa at 10 Pa intervals). The air flow (or some quantity directly related to it) and the pressure difference are measured at each point. The resulting pressure versus air flow curves for both pressurization and depressurization are used to find the effective leakage area of the building (1).

### Data Analysis

Air flow through the building envelope is a combination of viscous flow and turbulent flow. The former is proportional to  $\Delta P$  while the latter is proportional to the square root of  $\Delta P$ . Air flow through the envelope can be characterized by the equation:

$$Q = K (\Delta P)^n \quad (1)$$

The coefficients K and n are obtained using a log-linearized curve-fitting technique. The curves generated by fan pressurization are extrapolated to a reference pressure of 4 Pa (assumed to be representative of natural infiltration) by solving for Q at 4 Pa in Equation 1.

The effective leakage area is defined assuming that in the pressure range characteristic of natural infiltration (-10 to +10 Pa), the flow versus pressure behavior of a building more closely resembles square-root (turbulent) than viscous flow and can be described by:

$$L = \frac{Q_4}{[(2/\rho) \Delta P_4]^{0.5}} \quad (2)$$

The total leakage area of the envelope,  $L_o$ , is defined as the average of the effective leakage areas from pressurization and depressurization.

## Calculation of Infiltration Using the LBL Model

The LBL infiltration model is based on physical simplifications of the many effects that enter into the process of air infiltration. The considerations behind these simplifications have been examined in great detail in previous works (2,3), and will be summarized in the sections to follow.

### Superposition

Although the effective leakage area determines the flow through the envelope as a function of pressure, it is not a simple matter to calculate the point pressures on the surface of the building. For weather driven infiltration there are two independent driving forces: wind and temperature difference (stack effect). To simplify the point pressure problem, we can calculate the wind-induced and stack-induced infiltration independently; but, we cannot simply add them to find the total infiltration. We can, however, use our simplified leakage expression to combine the two; because flow is proportional to the square-root of the pressure, we add them in quadrature. (See equation 3.)

### Stack Induced Infiltration

The stack effect is caused by a difference in temperature between the air inside and the air outside the building. This temperature difference causes a density difference and this buoyancy creates a pressure gradient along any vertical boundary.

The leaks in a building are distributed over the entire envelope, thus a detailed summation would be required to determine the flow at each point on the envelope. To avoid this level of detail, we have grouped the envelope leakage into three categories: floor, wall, and ceiling leakage area. Within each area we assume that the leaks are evenly distributed. Thus, we have three parameters that describe the leaks:  $L_o$ , the total leakage area;  $R$ , the fraction of the total leakage area in the floor and ceiling; and  $X$ , the difference between the floor and ceiling leakage areas divided by the total leakage area. (See equations 4 and 5 for the stack induced infiltration.)



## Wind Induced Infiltration

When wind flows around a building it induces pressure differences across the external faces of the envelope. These pressure differences are proportional to the local wind speed and the degree of shielding of the building. We have calculated the generalized shielding coefficient,  $C$ , for five degrees of obstruction around the building; the values are summarized in Table 1.

Most wind data is taken from a weather tower not necessarily at the height of the building. The measured wind speed must be converted from a weather station into a local wind speed for our model. We use a method that uses two terrain parameters to describe the wind profile. (See equations 6 and 7 for the wind induced infiltration and Table 2. for the terrain parameters.)

### Summary of Equations

*Superposition:*

$$Q_{weather} = \sqrt{Q_w^2 + Q_s^2} \quad (3)$$

*Stack Induced Infiltration:*

$$Q_s = L_o f_s \sqrt{g H_s \left| \frac{\Delta T}{T} \right|} \quad (4)$$

$$f_s = \frac{(1+R/2)}{3} \left(1 - \frac{X^2}{(2-R)^2}\right)^{3/2} \quad (5)$$

*Wind Induced Infiltration:*

$$Q_w = L_o v f_w \quad (6)$$

$$f_w = C (1-R)^{1/3} \frac{\alpha_w \left(\frac{H_w}{10}\right)^{\gamma_w}}{\alpha_t \left(\frac{H_t}{10}\right)^{\gamma_t}} \quad (7)$$

Table 1. Generalized shielding coefficients

Shielding Class	C	Description
I	0.324	No obstructions or local shielding whatsoever.
II	0.285	Light local shielding with few obstructions.
III	0.240	Moderate local shielding, some obstructions within two house heights.
IV	0.185	Heavy shielding, obstructions around most of perimeter.
V	0.102	Very heavy shielding, large obstruction around perimeter within ten meters.

Table 2. Terrain parameters for standard terrain classes

Class	$\gamma$	$\alpha$	Description
I	0.10	1.30	Ocean or other body of water with at least 5 km of unrestricted expanse.
II	0.15	1.00	Flat terrain with some isolated obstacles.
III	0.20	0.85	Rural areas with low buildings, trees, or other scattered obstacles.
IV	0.25	0.67	Urban, industrial or forest areas or other built-up area.
V	0.35	0.47	Center of large city or other heavily built-up area.

## Conclusion

The fan pressurization technique is a simple method that is used to measure the air tightness of a building. The air tightness of a building can be expressed by the effective leakage area at 4 Pa. or by the air exchange rate at 50 Pa. The effective leakage area and local weather data can be used as inputs to the LBL infiltration model that predicts natural infiltration rates. Both the fan pressurization technique and LBL infiltration model can be used in a variety of ways: energy estimates, air quality calculations, consensus standards, and code requirements.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Energy Research and Development, Building Systems Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

## References

- (1) American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Practice for Measuring Air Leakage by the Fan Pressurization Method (E779-81). Philadelphia, PA, 1981.
- (2) Sherman, M.H. Air Infiltration in Buildings. Ph.D Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 1980.
- (3) Sherman, M.H., and Modera, M.P. Comparison of Predicted and Measured Infiltration Using the LBL Infiltration Model. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report, LBL-17001, 1985.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY  
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720