Distributed Energy Resources Planning

Training for States on Distribution System and Distributed Energy Resources Planning

Presented by Cody Davis, Sr. Manager, Electric Power Engineers Western Regional Training January 24, 2024



Agenda

Introduction
DER Forecasting
Hosting Capacity Analysis
Non-Wires Alternatives
Questions



INTRODUCTION



Types of DERs





Distribution Planning with DER

Planning Goals:

- Understand and prepare for the future
- Meet customer needs in a timely and efficient manner

Who does Distribution Planning?

- Everyone who controls a distribution system that changes over time
- Investor-owned utilities (IOUs), Municipal Utilities, Cooperatives, Campuses, etc.

DER are driving changes in Distribution Planning

- Increasing visibility, scrutiny, and importance to customer outcomes
- Significantly more complex due to DER considerations



Planning for DER Growth – Predict, Identify, Resolve



- Estimate Future Adoption
- Understand System Impacts



Non-Wires Alternatives Simplified NWA Assessment Process Identify System Need Screen Against NWA Criteria Identify Candidate NWA Project **Issue RFP Conduct Benefit-Cost Analysis Procure NWA Solution**

- Identify System Constraints
- Inform Applicant Siting/Sizing

- Leverage DER As a Resource
- Mitigate System Needs Efficiently



[Source]

DER Forecasting



DER Forecasts – Methodology Design Elements



Geospatial Forecast – System Wide

Highly Aggregated Data

This level of data aggregation is crucial for grasping **<u>overarching</u>** <u>trends</u> and for planning at a broader system level.

RTO/ISO Level Forecast:

Regional grid operator-level data provides a <u>regional</u> <u>outlook</u>, encompassing <u>multiple states</u> or a significant part of a state. This level is key for understanding <u>regional</u> <u>energy trends, transmission planning, and managing the</u> <u>electricity market</u>.

State Level Forecast

These forecasts offer insights into the future energy needs and resource availability for an <u>entire state</u>, aiding in <u>policy-making and statewide energy planning</u>.

Utility Wide Forecast

Forecasting at this level focuses on the specific needs and trends within a <u>utility's service area</u>. It is essential for the utility's own <u>planning, infrastructure development, and</u> <u>meeting regulatory requirements</u>.

Policy Drivers	Other Drivers
Feed-in-tariffs (FITs)/Long-term procurement	Role of private investment in PV development
State Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) programs	Future equipment and installation costs
Net energy metering (NEM) and retail rate structure	Future wholesale and retail electricity costs
Federal investment tax credit (ITC) and federal depreciation	Costs and issues associated with grid infrastructure constraints and needed upgrades
Federal trade policy	Siting issues

Factors influencing Uptake of Distributed PV- ISO NE [Source]





Regional PV Nameplate Capacity Growth

State PV Nameplate Capacity Growth

Source: ISO NE 2023 PV Forecast



Geospatial Forecast – Highly Localized – Propensity Modeling

Highly Localized Forecast

Highly localized spatial DER forecast refers to detailed analysis at the <u>customer level</u>, diving into the granular aspect of individual customer behaviors and preferences. This analysis is referred to as <u>customer propensity analysis</u>, and it uses a wealth of <u>customer/community specific data</u> to estimate the <u>probability that an individual customer adopts</u> a technology.





Bass Diffusion and Fisher-Pry are two common models to build to build logistical S-Curve adoption models.



Source – <u>Forecasting Load on</u> <u>Distribution Systems with DERs</u>

Geospatial Forecast – Mid Range

Mid Range Forecast

Mid range spatial forecast provides a balance between system level and highly localized forecast. It is derived from the <u>disaggregation of system-wide</u> data or the <u>aggregation of highly localized</u> forecasts.

Distribution Feeder/Substation Level:

Forecasts at this level usually are focused on DER uptake for <u>specific</u> <u>feeder or substations</u> within a utility network. These forecasts are impactful in assessing the <u>impact of growth</u> of DERs <u>on segments of</u> <u>the distribution grid and targeting mitigation strategies</u>.

ZIP Code/County Level:

This level involves DER forecasting for <u>smaller, administratively</u> <u>defined</u> areas like zip codes or counties. It provides a <u>more localized</u> <u>view</u> than state or utility forecasts, allowing for <u>finer planning</u> and analysis that considers the <u>specific characteristics and needs</u> of these areas.

Census Tract Level

These forecasts are the most localized within the mid range category and cover **small, relatively homogenous regions** defined for statistical purposes. This helps in aligning the **DER planning** strategies to a **specific community or neighborhood**.



PGE Reference case LDV and Solar PV adoption at the feeder level in 2030



Source PGE Load and DER forecast



DER Forecast Disaggregation - Purpose

- Disaggregation refers to the method of distributing a system-wide forecast of DERs for a <u>specific utility service</u> <u>area</u> down to <u>individual circuit levels</u>.
- The primary aim of disaggregation methodologies is to pinpoint, as accurately as possible, the likely locations for the adoption of new DERs.
- It involves considering multiple factors, ultimately to pinpoint customer behavior, which is inherently uncertain.
- The disaggregated forecast is then used as an <u>input to</u> <u>distribution planning studies</u>.



Source – Overview of System Level Forecasting



DER Disaggregation Techniques

Source – <u>Forecasting Load on</u> Distribution Systems with DERs

Proportional Allocation

- Allocates system-level DER forecast to individual circuits using specific local distribution data
 - Proportional to Load
 - Proportional to # of Customers
 - Proportional to Existing DER
- Another approach is to use adoption patterns of one technology (e.g., PV) to drive adoption for another (e.g., energy storage)



Solar PV Locational Adoption PGE

Propensity Models

- Propensity models use customer characteristics to compute a propensity score. Based on the score, a fraction is computed as the ratio of the score for that area divided by the sum of scores across all areas.
- For example, the propensity model can be estimated using ZIP code data where the models relate historical adoption to customer characteristics in each ZIP code.
- Statistics- based (Regression and ML)



PGE Propensity Scoring Results

- This uses a bottom-up adoption approach based on adoption patterns and estimated adoption model parameters.
- These models are S Curve-based. They forecast technology adoption based on characteristics of early adaptors, market factors, and adoption rates, applied to the remaining potential.



Source SCE DFWG Progress Report

Source PGE Load and DER forecast

Adoption Modeling - NREL's dGen Tool

dGen is a forecasting tool which simulates customer decisions to adopt DERs based on future DER adoption factors like economics, market conditions, and policy incentives.

- dGen models the decisions of individual 'agents' (which can be households, businesses, or other entities) based on their unique circumstances and the broader market environment.
- It offers detailed geographic modeling, allowing for analysis at national, state, and more localized levels.
- The tool incorporates a wide range of variables, including energy prices, policy incentives, and technological advancements.



Click <u>here</u> to view as an animated model from 2014-2050. Source – <u>NREL dGen Model</u> <u>Applications</u>



Planning Forecast Duration – Short, Medium and Long Term

Short Term	Medium Term	Long Term
0-3 Years	3 – 10 Years	10+ Years
Focus: Centered around upcoming <u>operational</u> <u>requirements</u> with DER penetration for stable grid operations, preparing for immediate growth and	Focus: Infrastructure planning with upcoming DER growth, integration of new technologies, and regulatory policy updates.	Focus: Align with strategic objectives like <u>achieving</u> <u>clean energy targets</u> and adapting to <u>major</u> <u>technology shifts</u> .
operational contingencies.	Common Historical Practice: 5-Year Planning Forecast Increasing Focus on 10-Year Forecast for Capital Plans	Useful to guide long term investments, policy decisions, and direction for sustainable future.



DER Temporal Impact – When?

Actual DER Load or Generation Profiles can vary significantly based on many factors

- Solar PV: Lat/Long, DC:AC ratio, Tracking, Shading, Direction & Azimuth
- Electric Vehicles: EV-specific or Time of Use Rates, Managed Charging, Availability, Location
- Battery Storage: Individual Use Case, Value Stack Incentives, Import/Export Restrictions

Determining expected resource profiles is critical to <u>converting adoption forecasts into</u> <u>system impacts.</u>



EV Charging Demand Profiles Under Varying Control and Incentive Regimes



Scenario Modeling

Scenario modeling

- Examines how different variables could shape future DER adoption and subsequent <u>system needs as a whole</u>
- Attempts to forecast for <u>multiple potential pathways</u> in order to <u>inform</u> <u>investment plans</u>
- Varying factors like technology adoption rates, economic conditions, and policy changes provide a range of possible outcomes.
 - Example: Solar adoption under varying compensation structures

Key Components of Scenario Modeling

- Adoption Rates Analyzing how quickly consumers might adopt various DER technologies under different circumstances.
- Technological Developments Considering advancements in DER technologies and their potential effects on adoption and efficiency. For instance, developments in EV technologies have greatly impacted EV uptake and consumer behavior changes.
- **Policy and Regulatory Changes** Assessing the impact of potential future policy shifts, building code requirements, and other regulatory changes.
- **Market Dynamics** Understanding how changes in energy prices, consumer preferences, and economic conditions could influence DER growth.
- Incentive Structure Assessing how different incentive and pricing changes can impact DER growth, including time of use rates and PV and EV incentive programs.

General Goals

- Identify "no regret" investments Focus on actions and investments that will be beneficial across most/all potential future scenarios
- **Prepare for the future** Prepare for longer-term needs that may arise, "future-proof" when making investment decisions.
- Facilitate goal achievement Make investments necessary to facilitate reaching goals where appropriate

NY Coordinated Grid Planning Process Stage 1 – Build-Out Scenarios

Stage 1 Inputs	Process	Output
 CLCPA objectives for clean energy, battery storage resources, etc. Other policy mandates Technology limitations (availability, max build pace, etc.) Stakeholder input 	 Prioritization of analyses and sensitivities that must be explored, likely future conditions that can be represented through modeling of system constraints 	• Up to three clean energy generation resource build-out scenarios to represent various potential future policy, economic, and technology availability conditions



Hosting Capacity Analysis



Hosting Capacity - Overview

Hosting capacity is the amount of DERs that can be <u>interconnected</u> to the distribution grid without compromising power quality or reliability, and <u>without</u> <u>necessitating upgrades</u> to the current control, protection systems, or infrastructure.

- Hosting capacity analysis evaluates a subset of interconnection criteria at various system locations, commonly including:
 - Thermal Limits
 - Overvoltage
 - Reverse flow limitations
 - Protection Considerations
- It is usually represented as a map, with varying level of information.
 - In some cases, utilities provide tabular information about constraints (e.g., minimum daytime load) in conjunction with maps.
- Due to scale, analysis results may not be as accurate as detailed interconnection studies, creating potential discrepancies.



Source: Jeff Smith, Methods, Applications, Opportunities and Challenges, EPRI. MPSC Distribution Planning Stakeholder Meeting, June 27, 2019, p.4.



Xcel Energy Minnesota Hosting capacity study and sub feeder spreadsheets



Hosting Capacity Use Cases

	Objective	Capability	Challenges
Development Guide	Support market-driven DER deployment	Identify areas with potentially lower interconnection costs	Security concerns; analysis/model refresh; data accuracy and availability
Technical Screens	Improve the interconnection screening process	Augment or replace rules of thumb; determine need for detailed study	Data granularity; benchmarking and validation to detailed studies
Distribution Planning Tool	Enable greater DER integration	Identify potential future constraints and proactive upgrades	Higher input data requirements; granular load and DER forecasts

Source: U.S. DOE, Office of Electricity, Integrated Distribution Planning - Utility Practices in Hosting Capacity Analysis and Locational Value Assessment, 2018, p.3.



Use Case #1 - Facilitating Efficient Project Siting

Goal: Direct project developers to sites with available capacity to reduce the submission of non-viable applications.

- Basic Information: Utilities may offer calculated DER megawatt ٠ (MW) capacity values across geographic blocks, typically visualized through a "heat map" indicating areas with good versus limited hosting capacity.
- Most Effective Information: All data necessary to effectively site ٠ and size projects, for example, calculated hosting capacity values, information on existing and queued DER projects, load profiles, grid constraints and more

Segment ID

Node ID





	Integration Capacity (MW)	
	Static Grid	Operational Flexibility
Uniform generation	13.15	0.66
Photovoltaic	14	0.72
Hosting Capacity Advanced Inform	nation <u>Example</u>	



Basic Hosting Capacity Map Example [Link]

Circuit Level

Circuit Name	Nile
Circuit Voltage (KV)	12
Substation Name	Rio Hondo
System Name	Rio Hondo 220/66 System
Existing Generation (MW)	1.89
Queued Generation (MW)	0.26
Total Generation (MW)	2.14



Hosting Capacity Map Information Breakdown

Hosting Capacity (HCA) Map Elements	Benefits to DER Developer
Substation location and HCA data	 Determine substation level constraints (e.g., size and voltage of transformer) Identify equipment that may impact hosting capacity (e.g., load tap changer or regulator) Determine approximate distance from circuit to substation
Feeder location and HCA data	 Determine feeder HCA constraints for DER load and generation Assess if costly system upgrades are likely at a location given constraints Identify equipment that may impact HCA (e.g., voltage supervisory reclosing)
HCA criteria violations	 Determine which violation criteria (e.g., thermal, voltage) is causing the limit, identify appropriate technical solutions to overcome constraint(s), and estimate associated costs (e.g., for system upgrade)
Substation/feeder load profiles	 Screening tool for locating DER load interconnections (e.g., storage, EV chargers) Assess if costly system upgrades are likely at a location given constraints
DER connected and in queue	 Determine if hosting capacity is likely available to new projects

Benefits of Hosting Capacity Information to Developers – Source: Synapse Report

Common Hosting Capacity Map Design: Tensions and Trade-Offs

Topic Area	Common Utility Perspective	Common Developer Perspective
Update Frequency: (e.g., Annual, Monthly, Bi-monthly)	More frequent updates increases ongoing maintenance costs	More frequent updates improve usefulness due to increased accuracy
Level of Geographic Granularity (Blocks vs. Circuit Maps)	Aggregated maps reduce risk to utility assets (security)	Detailed circuit maps improve developer site selection capabilities
Amount of Information Provided (e.g., calculated HC, information on constraints, load profiles)	Providing additional information increases HC tool development and ongoing costs	Providing additional information increases project siting/sizing screening capability
Security Concerns (Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – CEII)	Highly detailed information may contain CEII or expose the distribution system to additional risk	HC maps are outside distribution substations and not part of the bulk power system, thus not CEII
Cost Burden	Map development and maintenance costs impact utility customer bills and are not paid by developers	HC maps reduce utility study burden and aid in compliance with state goals and programs
Data Export and Tabular Format Availability	Allowing export in tabular format may increase system security risk	Tabular results are much easier to use for prospecting good sites



Hosting Capacity Q&A Break

AND DESCRIPTION OF

NARRAL STREET



111117

Use Case #2 - DER Interconnection Screening

Goal: Integrate hosting capacity into the DER interconnection screening process to **improve efficiency**, **reduce conservative assumptions**, and improve screening process timelines.

Current Role in Interconnection Screenings:

- Not commonly used directly as part of interconnection screening criteria
 - Screens usually set by state codes or in utility tariffs
 - May be used to identify "restricted" or "closed" feeders
- Significant synergy between data collected for hosting capacity and data-driven screening methods
 - Use actual minimum daytime load instead of rule of thumb based on 15% of peak capacity of the feeder
 - Substation protection / reverse flow limits
 - System-wide distribution model clean-up

Implementation Challenges:

- Requires application/model management for queued generation and resulting system upgrades
- Models used for system-wide analysis not as trustworthy as application-specific studies (scale trade-offs)
- Difficult to account for site-specific factors (e.g., volt/var curve, colocated storage)
- Modifying screening criteria may require state-level modifications



Source: NREL, Emerging Issues and Challenges in Integrating Solar with the Distribution System, May 2016.







Application for Interconnection

Source: Jeff Smith, Methods, Applications, Opportunities and Challenges, EPRI. MPSC Distribution Planning Stakeholder Meeting, June 27, 2019, p. 24.

Hosting Capacity Analysis Can Assist in Interconnection Screening

Restricted application Example

Use Case - Examples

California IOUs:

- Integrate hosting capacity information to refine the Rule 21 interconnection process
- In 2020, mandated by the CPUC to use Integration Capacity Analysis (hosting capacity) results in the interconnection process to:
 - Determine where and when existing circuits can accommodate additional DERs without needing upgrades
 - Allow interconnection resources to export up to those limits [Source <u>CPUC</u>]

Pepco (Washington, DC):

- Uses hosting capacity results along with a Heat Map to indicate existing and pending installations on feeders
- Pepco's Hosting Capacity Analysis provides customers with the ability to assess the interconnection point to estimate the available capacity on a feeder, in relation to the current and anticipated solar photovoltaic (PV) projects awaiting connection



Pepco's Definition of Strict and Maximum PV Penetration Limits – Source <u>DE-EE0006328</u>



Use Case #3 - Distribution Planning Tool

- Hosting capacity plays a crucial role in scenario analysis, allowing for the assessment of various 'what-if' situations concerning DER integration.
- When combined with projections of load and DER expansion, the analysis facilitates detailed evaluations of future effects on a per-feeder basis.
- Utilities can use these scenarios to consider mitigation strategies and necessary infrastructure enhancements and to conduct cost-effectiveness analyses.
- Advanced analytical capabilities will equip utilities to gauge the distribution system's readiness to leverage DER services, including non-wires alternatives, and to understand how DERs affect grid restructuring, operational tactics, and the adoption of advanced inverter technologies.



Source: Modern Distribution Grid Guidebook, DSPx Volume 4, June 2020, <u>PNNL: Grid</u> <u>Architecture - Modern Distribution Grid Project</u>



Use Case - Example

Hawaiian Electric Company:

The Hawaiian Electric Company (HECO) employs a multi-step, increasing complexity screening process to assess a circuit's capacity to host forecasted DERs through 2025.

Based on the multistep process, Hosting Capacity is categorized in the following groups by Circuit.

- **Existing Hosting Capacity Satisfies Need**: Existing hosting capacity can accommodate the total anticipated DER in year 2025. No grid needs are required.
- Updated Hosting Capacity (Without Modifications) Satisfies Need: Updated hosting capacity can accommodate the total anticipated DER in year 2025. No grid needs are required.
- Updated Hosting Capacity (With Modifications) Satisfies Need: Updated hosting capacity along with modifications that do not require infrastructure investments can accommodate the total anticipated DER in year 2025.
- Solution Option Required: Updated hosting capacity is unable to accommodate the total anticipated DER in year 2025. Grid need identified.

S Update HC based on multiple load Check available Update HC based Analysis Circuit Screen Probabilistic Analysis HC to meet DER on static load flow forecast: analysis. flow analyses ahu (probabilistic). Stochastic If DER forecast < new Õ If DER forecast > HC, If DER forecast < new HC, update HC. No proceed to Synerai HC, update HC. No upgrades needed. analysis. upgrades needed. If DER forecast > new If DER forecast < HC. If DER forecast > new HC is sufficient. No HC, try to resolve with HC, try to resolve with modifications (nonupgrades needed. modifications (noninfrastructure infrastructure investment solutions). investment solutions). If DER forecast > new If DER forecast > new HC with modifications HC with settings identify for arid need changes, identify for requirement. arid need requirement.

Summary of Hosting Capacity Analysis – Source: <u>HECO</u>

Island	Total Circuits	Existing Hosting Capacity Satisfies Need (Analysis Not Required)			Total Anticipated DER in 2025 > Hosting Capacity (Analysis Required)			
		Low	Base	High	Low	Base	High	
Oʻahu	384			303			81	
Hawai'i Island	137			76			61	
Maui Island	88			52			36	
Lanaʻi	3			1			2	
Moloka'i	8			3			5	
Total (All Islands)	620			435			185	

Summary of Circuit Selection Screening – Source: HECO



Source: HECO

Non-Wires Alternatives



Non-Wires Alternatives

"Non-wires alternative (NWA)" is any action or strategy that uses <u>non-traditional</u> transmission and/or distribution solutions – such as distributed generation, energy storage, energy efficiency, demand response, and grid software and controls – with the intent to <u>defer or replace</u> the need for specific <u>energy</u> <u>delivery system equipment investments</u>. An NWA must meet energy delivery system needs and be more <u>cost effective</u> consistent with the guiding principles of sustainable, well-planned, secure, affordable, and non-discriminatory."*

NWA Benefit Category	Traditional Solutions	NWA Solution	DER Types Considered
Capacity – Reduce thermal loading on utility equipment during peak load hours to defer upgrades	Upgrade overloaded equipment, construct new circuit or substation for segmentation	Inject power locally to reduce thermal load on equipment	Grid-following DER — e.g., PV, battery energy storage system (BESS), combined heat and power
Reliability – Provide an alternate source during loss of utility supply	Build circuit ties, construct redundant substation or circuit	Intentional island to restore service to unfaulted area	Grid-forming DER (grid- forming inverter or synchronous generator)
Voltage Support – Mitigate voltage violations	Install capacitor bank or voltage regulator, reconductor	Real and reactive power injection and absorption	Inverters with Volt/Var curves, BESS, etc.

*SEPA Non-Wires Alternatives (NWA) – Incorporating NWAs into Your Grid Modernization Program

Identifying NWA Opportunities – Levels of Targeting





Identifying Opportunities – Geotargeting

Proactive Approach to System Needs in High Growth/Constrained Areas

- **Tailored Solutions**: Geotargeting allows utilities to tailor solutions to specific areas where growth is expected to outpace the existing grid's capacity, thus preventing bottlenecks and overloads before they occur.
- Local Resource Optimization: Encourages the development and integration of local renewable energy sources, which can alleviate demand on the central grid and reduce transmission and distribution losses.
- Scalable and Flexible: Geotargeting solutions can be scaled up or down as needed, providing flexibility to adapt to actual growth patterns and changes in energy consumption behavior.
- **Deferral of Capital Expenditure**: Can delay or eliminate the need for expensive upgrades to transformers, substations, and distribution lines by managing load growth through targeted energy efficiency and demand response and local DERs.



Example – Brooklyn Queens Demand Management Program (BQDM)

Background

- In response to rising demand for electricity in the Brooklyn-Queens area, ConEd faced the need for a significant infrastructure upgrade—a new substation costing an estimated \$1 billion.
- To avoid this costly investment, ConEd launched the BQDM program in 2014, aiming to reduce electricity demand in the target area by <u>52</u> <u>megawatts (MW)</u> through demand-side management and distributed energy resources (DERs). This included 41 MW of customer-side DERs and 11 MW of DERs directly tied to the utility distribution network.



Source: "Brooklyn Queens Demand Management Demand Response Program." Business Opportunities, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc, www.coned.com/en/businesspartners/businessopportunities/brooklyn-queens-demand-management-demand-response-



Example – Brooklyn Queens Demand Management Program (BQDM)

Achievements

 The BQDM program successfully met its target to reduce peak demand by 52 MW and successfully deferred the need for the new substation and provided a more cost-effective solution for managing the area's energy demand. As of Q2 2023, 61 MW of load relief was achieved at the peak (9-10 pm) including 29 MW from EE.

Economic Impact

- With an investment of approximately \$200 million—only a fifth of the cost estimated for infrastructure upgrade (\$1B)—ConEd was able to achieve the required demand reduction.
- This approach offered significant savings to ConEd and its customers while still enhancing grid reliability and resilience.

BQDM PORTFOLIO		2023				
	Qu	Quarter 2 Year-to- Date		Pr	Program-	
				Date		o-Date
FINANCIAL ACTIVITY (\$ M)	_		_			
[0] Expenditures						
Customer-sided	\$	0.53	\$	1.04	\$	107.11
Utility-sided	\$	-	\$	-	\$	23.74
Total Expenditures	\$	0.53	\$	1.04	\$	130.84
Program Cost Recovery	\$	1.15	\$	2.30	\$	76.35
CUSTOMER-SIDED PROGRAM ACTIVITY						
Energy Efficiency						
[1] Residential Direct Install						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		4,930
[2] Bring Your Own Thermostat						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		391
[3] Residential AC						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		9
[4] Multifamily Energy Efficiency						
Peak Hour kW reduction		12		12		5,650
[5] Small-Medium Businesses Adder						
Peak Hour kW reduction		123		191		14,677
[6] Commercial & Industrial						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		985
[7] NYCHA						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		2,293
[8] DCAS						
Peak Hour kW reduction		38		38		505
Distributed Generation						
[9] Fuel Cell						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		6,100
[10] Combined Heat & Power						
Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		3,079
Energy Storage						
[11] Peak Hour kW reduction		-		-		4,000
Customer-Sided Portfolio kW reduction at Peak Ho	ur	173		241		42.620





Example – Central Hudson Peak Perks Program

Background

- Central Hudson, serving parts of New York State, faced challenges with peak load management, especially during the summer months when energy usage spikes due to air conditioning and other cooling needs.
- The utility was looking for a way to manage these peaks more effectively without resorting to costly infrastructure upgrades in three targeted zones for 5 to 10 years.



Source: PLMA Demand Response Program [Link]



Example – Central Hudson Peak Perks Program

Overview

- Peak Perks was designed as a voluntary program that incentivizes residential and commercial customers to allow Central Hudson to install devices that can remotely cycle off air conditioning systems or adjust thermostat setpoints for brief periods during peak demand times.
- Participants receive an initial enrollment bonus and annual participation incentives.

Estimated Cost of Traditional T&D Solutions

- Actual Cost of DR Solution
 - + Actual Capacity Savings
- = Program Financial Benefits



70% of benefits go to rate payers by reducing future bill pressure

30% of benefits are provided to utility as incentive to achieve the program targets

Source: PLMA Award Wining DR Initiatives



Example – Central Hudson Peak Perks Program

Strategy

- The program primarily aimed to reduce peak electricity demand, which in turn could defer or eliminate the need for additional network reinforcement.
- By targeting specific areas with the highest demand or where the system was most constrained, Central Hudson could optimize its demand-side management efforts.

Outcomes

- The program exceeded the total first year MW target of all three locations, achieving <u>5.9 MW</u> of load reduction compared to 5.3 MW target.
- Overall, Central Hudson's Peak Perks program successfully curbed peak energy demand, contributing to more stable and efficient grid operation.
- Program participants contributed to a collective effort that helps to maintain reliable service and keep energy costs down for all customers.



Specific Grid Needs Example – Ameren Illinois Thebes BESS

- Ameren Illinois installed a battery energy storage system to provide backup power for the town of Thebes.
 - Approximately 300 residents
 - Historically worst performing circuit for reliability
 - Served via radial supply through dense Mark Twain National Forest
 - Traditional alternate source construction not viable due to distance and trees
- **1 MW battery** installed and used as an automatic transfer voltage source for the community during an outage situation
- Estimated Cost: <u>\$1.4M</u>
- This project resulted in fewer and shorter outages with positive community feedback.





NWA Evaluation Framework – Comparison with Alternatives

Evaluating Non-Wires Alternatives requires comparing them to traditional infrastructure solutions to understand benefits and limitations of NWAs in addressing specific grid needs. This comparison is a multi-faceted comparison including, but limited to, the following factors :



NWA Evaluation Framework – Cost Benefit Evaluation

Cost-benefit analysis for NWAs scrutinizes a variety of factors to ascertain NWA economic viability compared to traditional grid upgrades, such as:

- Initial capital expenditure
- Operational expenditures
- Economic lifespan and depreciation
- Benefits and savings
- Scalability costs
- Avoided energy benefits
- Avoided capacity benefits
- Avoided non-energy benefits
- Benefit to cost ratio

Individual Cost and Benefit Calculations for an Example Project, Used to Calculate the Net Impact

Cost and Benefits Summary			
Energy Generation	\$1,544,526		
Generation Capacity + MISO Reserves	\$473,600		
Transmission Capacity	\$20,332		
Deferral Benefit	\$800,717		
GHG Emissions + Other Environmental	\$2,112,750		
Solar Cost	\$(2,177,637)		
Battery Cost	\$(438,363)		
Interconnection Fees	\$(204,000)		
Total Benefit	\$4,951,924		
Total Cost	\$(2,819,999)		
Net Impact	\$2,131,925		

Source: Xcel Minnesota 2023 Integrated Distribution Plan – NWA Appendix F, Pg 24



NWA Evaluation Framework – Resource Overcommitment Evaluation

Resource overcommitment occurs when a <u>single asset</u> is expected to <u>serve multiple functions simultaneously</u>, which might <u>not be feasible</u> due to operational constraints. This is especially relevant in the context of value stacking for NWAs, where assets like energy storage systems are expected to provide a range of services, such as demand response, load shifting, and voltage support.

To mitigate the risk of overcommitment, it is crucial to assess the following:

- **Capability Assessment** Ensuring that each asset can handle the demands of each service it's tasked with, both individually and in combination.
- **Coordinating Scheduling and Dispatch** This involves using advanced control systems to schedule the asset's responsibilities in a way that avoids conflicts, such as providing ancillary services during one period and reserving capacity for peak load support in another period.
- **Contractual Obligations** When engaging resources for multiple services, the terms should reflect the physical and operational limits of the asset.
- Robust Monitoring and Management Systems These systems continuously track the performance and availability of the resource, ensuring that it can meet its various functionality commitments when needed.
- Fallback Options In case a resource can't fulfill all roles due to simultaneous demand, backup systems or strategies can be in place to maintain service levels.



NWA Procurement Mechanisms





Specific Grid Need Distribution Services Approach



Geotargeting or System Level
Programmatic Approach

- Utility-initiated acquisition of resources to meet identified grid needs.
- Utility-owned/leased and directly controlled, offering a streamlined approach.
- The approach simplifies access to the value stack, mitigating contractual or control complexities associated with third-party operations.
- Suitable for vertically integrated utilities. More challenging for deregulated distribution utilities (ownership, market revenue, and dispatch coordination challenges).

- Utility issues a call for proposals from third parties or customers for NWAs to address specific grid needs.
- Such solicitations enable the integration of customer or third-partyowned resources, which are then compensated for their services to the grid.
- These resources can be directed by the utility for distribution system needs as necessary, while otherwise participating freely in other market opportunities.

- Employ systematic studies to discern the locational value of DERs, aiming to direct them to where they're most beneficial on the grid.
- Adjust incentive levels to promote
 DERs in locations which can offer the
 greatest value/relief to the system.
- The goal is to prevent as many specific grid needs as possible by managing load growth in targeted areas, thereby reducing reliance on traditional infrastructure upgrades.



Specific Grid Needs - Distribution Services Approach - California

In 2018 the CPUC issued decision D. 18-02-004, which adopted the **Distribution Investment Deferral Framework**, creating opportunities for DERs to serve as **NWAs in lieu of traditional grid investments**.



*The California Energy Commission prepares the top-down load forecast used by the IOUs. The same top-down load forecast is used as the basis for the CPUC's Integrated Resources Plan process and California Independent System Operator's Transmission Planning Process.



Candidate Deferral	GNA Facility Name	In-Service Date
	WILLOW PASS BANK 1	2023
WILLOW PASS BANK 1	WILLOW PASS BANK 3	2023
	SAN MIGUEL BANK 1	2023
SAN MIGUEL BANK 2	SAN MIGUEL 1104	2023
	PASO ROBLES 1107	2023
	CALISTOGA BANK 1	2023
CALISTOGA BANK 1	CALISTOGA 1102	2023
RIPON 1705	VIERRA 1707	2024
ZAMORA BANK 1	ZAMORA BANK 1	2023
GREENBRAE BANK 2*	GREENBRAE BANK 2	2023
BLACKWELL BANK 1 *	BLACKWELL BANK 1	2023

* CUSTOMER CONFIDENTIAL due to their peak loads violating the 15-15 customer privacy rule

Source: PG&E presentation on 2021 RFO for more than 19.6 MW support of local distribution capacity relief in seven areas in central California

DIDF Framework - <u>Demand-side Alternatives To Traditional Supply-side Investments:</u> <u>Updated And New Approaches In California</u>

PG&E 2021 DIDF identified more then 19MW of Grid needs [Locational Value of DERs]



Specific Grid Needs - Distribution Services Approach - Colorado

In May of 2023, Xcel Energy (PSCo) issued an RFP to solicit NWA solutions for two capacity-driven projects to defer system upgrades

- Goal: Defer \$4.1M for new feeder from 2025 to 2031 for each project location
- Expected to utilize demand response, energy efficiency, energy storage, and/or distributed generation



Timing of the Need					
Asset	Capacity Need (MW)	Need Period (Hours)	Peak Hours	Deferral Period (Years)	120-Day Load Relief Period
WELD1611 (N-0)	4.6	10 hours	11:00 - 20:00	2025 - 2031	lune 1st
WELD1611 (N-1)	11.5	20 hours	4:00 - 23:00	2025 - 2031	Soptember 28th
GRLY1032 (N-1)	7.0	21 hours	3:00 - 23:00	2025 - 2031	September 20
Table 7: Timing for System Risk Relief Requirements					





Geotargeting and System Level – Value of DER

 Customer-centric approach where customers choose the size, location, and type of DER installations, such as solar panels or energy storage systems, and are compensated based on the locational value their DERs provide to the grid, encouraging deployment in areas where can provide maximum benefits.

Example: NY VDER

New York's Value of Distributed Energy Resources (VDER) program, which includes the **Locational System Relief Value**, **compensates** DER providers based on the **location-specific value** they bring. VDER also employs studies on the marginal cost of service to determine a general "<u>Demand Reduction</u> <u>Value</u>" applicable across <u>all locations</u>.

Ongoing Proceeding – Illinois Value of DER

Illinois is engaged in an ongoing proceeding to determine "base value" and "additive services" value of DER as a replacement for the distribution component of net metering compensation

- Driven by state legislation
- Framework to be proposed in 2024
- Workshop Materials: [Link]

Value Name	Description	Eligible DERs	
Energy Value (LBMP)	LBMP is the day-ahead wholesale energy price as determined by <u>NYISO</u> . It changes hourly and is different according to geographic zone.	All technologies: PV, storage, CHP, digesters, wind, hydro, and fuel cells.	
Capacity Value (ICAP)	ICAP is the value of how well a project reduces New York State's energy usage during the most energy-intensive days of the year. Developers can choose from three payout alternatives and most ICAP rates change monthly.*	All technologies receive ICAP. Dispatchable technologies (stand-alone storage, CHP, digesters, and fuel cells) will receive Alternative 3.	
Environmental Value (E)	E is the value of how much environmental benefit a clean kilowatt-hour brings to the grid and society. The E value is locked in for 25 years.**	PV, wind, hydro, and storage charged exclusively from PV or wind energy. Stand- alone storage is not eligible at this time.	
Demand Reduction Value (DRV)	DRV is determined by how much a project reduces the utility's future needs to make grid upgrades. DRV is locked in for 10 years **	All technologies.	
Locational System Relief Value (LSRV)	LSRV is available in utility-designated locations where DERs can provide additional benefits to the grid. Each location has a limited number of MW of LSRV capacity available. The LSRV is locked in for 10 years.**	All technologies. Project must be on a utility-specified substation.	
Community Credit (CC)	CC is available on a limited basis to encourage the development of Community Distributed Generation (CDG) projects. CC is the successor to the Market Transition Credit (MTC) and is similar in structure. The CC is locked in for 25 years.** PV projects in utility territories that have fully expended their CC may be eligible for the Community Adder – an upfront incentive administered by NY-Sun.	Available for CDG projects including PV and digesters. Wind, hydro, and fuel cells receive CC at a derated value. Not available for stand-alone storage or CHP.	



Closing



Questions to Ask

- How are the impacts of DER factored into your planning and investment processes?
- How are you estimating the peak load impact of EV growth across your distribution system?
- How granular are your PV, EV, and other DER forecasts with regard to location?
- What constraints are you considering in your hosting capacity map?
- What information could be readily provided by your hosting capacity analysis that would provide value to the utility, DER developers and customers?
- What steps would be needed to incorporate hosting capacity results into the screening process for DER interconnection?
- How can geotargeting be applied to existing system-level DER programs to improve distribution benefits?
- How are Non-Wires Alternative opportunities being identified and screened?
- What challenges have you experienced or do you anticipate within the NWA adoption process?



Questions?



Cody Davis

Senior Manager, Distribution & Grid Modernization Electric Power Engineers

CDavis@EPEConsulting.com

