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Study Motivation

• Many states adopting aggressive EE policies & goals
• Federal goals and support including 2009 stimulus funding & pending legislation
• EE expected to play a major role in meeting power sector needs & greenhouse gas reduction goals

➔ Are there adequately trained people to design, manage, and install the efficiency measures needed to meet these goals?
Research Questions

What are the requirements for a growing energy efficiency services workforce through 2020?

– How many jobs and what types of jobs are there in the energy efficiency services sector (EESS)?

– What is the projected need for more workers?

– What training will be required?

– What bottlenecks to expanding the EESS workforce can we anticipate?
Bottom Up Approach

- Over 350 interviews in 11 states
  - Program administrators (n=39)
  - Program implementation contractors (n=34)
  - Energy service companies (ESCOs) (n=9)
  - Building & construction trades/associations/labor unions (n~190)
  - Educational and training organizations (n=33)
  - Other subject-area experts (n~50)

- Literature review; analysis of secondary data

- Coordination with regional employer studies

- Research Team: LBNL and Research Into Action
Approach: 11 State Survey: Represents ~75% of 2007 Budget for Ratepayer-funded Energy Efficiency
Characterizing the Energy Efficiency Services Sector (EESS)
Defining the EESS

This study includes the portion of the EESS market supply chain that focuses on deployment and installation of energy efficiency products and measures. Within this, we further limit our scope to those EE products and services whose demand is driven *primarily* by the energy savings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Scope</th>
<th>Operations &amp; Maintenance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing &amp; Distribution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning &amp; Project Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting &amp; Auditing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction &amp; Installation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Monitoring &amp; Verification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Firms designing & manufacturing EE equipment
- Wholesale distributors of EE equipment
- Retail distributors of EE equipment
- Program administrators
- Federal and state EERE staff
- Implementation contractors
- Technical support service providers
- Energy managers
- Accreditation consultants
- Design & engineering firms
- Implementation contractors
- Technical support service providers
- ESCOs
- Local Wx agencies
- Energy managers
- Accreditation consultants
- Design & engineering firms
- Building & construction firms
- Insulation firms
- Technical support service providers
- ESCOs
- Local Wx agencies
- Program administrators
- Implementation contractors
- Technical support service providers
- ESCOs
- Energy managers
- Accreditation consultants
- Building owners & managers
- Facilities operators
Residential EESS

Lighter-colored boxes with dotted outlines show job categories that have emerged primarily as a result of the development of the EESS, and darker-colored solid boxes show firms and job categories that also exist outside of the EESS.
Features of the EESS

Top Tier of the EESS Diagrams:

- Program Administrators (PA) rely on various types of market actors and trade allies to design, deliver, and implement high efficiency products and services.
- Program Implementation Contractors (PIC) are often responsible for the day-to-day management of EE programs and have the ability to scale up or down quickly.

Bottom Tier of the EESS Diagrams:

- The tier row includes firms providing more specialized services in the areas of design and engineering, building and construction, ESCOs (in the institutional/commercial market), energy management accreditation consultants (in the industrial market), technical support contractors, and manufacturers.
- PA typically augment their staff with individuals and small firms with EE specialties. These contractors are the primary providers of the new and emerging activities shown in the dotted-outline boxes (see “Technical Support Services”).
## Job Categories: Program Administrators and Program Implementation Contractors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job category</th>
<th>People in this category …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior management</td>
<td>…provide the senior level of management to the EE organization. In the case of a large utility or private firm this is the EE department; in the case of smaller organizations that solely focus on energy efficiency this could be the senior management of the entire organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program planning, design, and budgeting</td>
<td>… conduct activities that get an EE program into the overall program portfolio of an organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program management and administration</td>
<td>…provide leadership for a specific program such as a commercial lighting or new construction program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program technical services and field staff</td>
<td>…provide technical services in the field such as auditors, installers, and verifiers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program training and marketing</td>
<td>…work with trade allies and others to train them in new programs and market the EE programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program support and incentive processing</td>
<td>…provide overall administrative support to EE programs, including incentive processing and data entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program evaluation and market assessment</td>
<td>…conduct research aimed at improving the design and implementation of EE programs and assessing their impacts on end use and product markets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Program Administrators and Implementation Contractors Workforce by Job Category

- Program Technical Services and Field Staff: 22% (21% for Program Administrators, 22% for Program Implementation Contractors)
- Program Management and Administration: 10% (10% for Program Administrators, 10% for Program Implementation Contractors)
- Program Training and Marketing: 9% (9% for Program Administrators, 9% for Program Implementation Contractors)
- Program Planning, Design, and Budgeting: 7% (7% for Program Administrators, 7% for Program Implementation Contractors)
- Program Support/Incentive Processing: 12% (12% for Program Administrators, 12% for Program Implementation Contractors)
- Management: 7% (7% for Program Administrators, 7% for Program Implementation Contractors)
- Program Evaluation and Market Assessment: 4% (4% for Program Administrators, 4% for Program Implementation Contractors)
### Job Categories: Energy Service Companies (ESCOs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job category</th>
<th>People in this category …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior management</td>
<td>…provide the senior level of management to the organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and marketing</td>
<td>… staff develop business leads and projects; work with customers to make project happen; participate in investment-grade audits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project design and engineering</td>
<td>…conduct investment-grade audits; design and engineer projects, develop project costs and budgets, develop construction drawings and specifications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction management</td>
<td>…provide leadership on site for installations and retrofits, ensure projects are completed on budget and to design requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project maintenance and savings verification</td>
<td>…oversee project-related operations &amp; maintenance after project is accepted by owner; gather field and billing data to verify savings; prepare reports on project savings and performance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ESCO Organization Workforce by Job Category

- Project Design & Engineering: 24%
- Construction Management: 20%
- Project Maintenance & Evaluation: 17%
- Management: 12%
- Sales & Marketing: 28%
# Job Categories: Design, Engineering, and Building & Construction Industries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job category</th>
<th>People in this category …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architects</td>
<td>....design buildings, develop drawings and specifications for construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineers</td>
<td>....design energy using systems for new and existing buildings; prepare drawings, specifications for construction; develop software and analysis tools for building modeling and simulation of energy consumption; and commission new buildings and high-efficiency projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General contractors, builders, remodelers</td>
<td>....create the team that constructs, renovates or retrofits the building; solicits bids from equipment contractors, and structural and construction specialties; coordinate with design team and owners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment contractors (e.g., mechanical, electrical, lighting, and refrigeration)</td>
<td>....install specified products, systems, and equipment consistent with design specifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building envelope contractors (e.g. insulation, windows)</td>
<td>…install specified products consistent with design specifications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current and Projected EESS Workforce Size
Methodology for Job Estimates

**Step 1**
Estimate spending and employment of a sample of the sub-sector

**Step 2**
Calculate PYE/$1M in spending for sub-sector

**Step 3**
Estimate total CURRENT spending for sub-sector

**Step 4**
Calculate the total CURRENT PYE for the sub-sector

**Step 5**
Estimate total FUTURE low and high spending for sub-sector

**Step 6**
Calculate the total FUTURE PYE for the sub-sector

Use PYE/$1M
# Jobs per $1M Spending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Person-Years of Employment (PYE) per $1M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratepayer-funded Efficiency Activity</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income Weatherization</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Service Companies (ESCOs)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulation</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal and State Govt EERE Offices</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Our results compared to other studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Job Type</th>
<th>Person-Years of Employment (PYE) per $M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UMASS-PERI and (2008)</td>
<td>Green Jobs (direct)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASES (2007)</td>
<td>Energy Efficiency (direct)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Drivers of Growth

- Increase in utility ratepayer funded EE
- Federal stimulus funding
- State, local and (potentially) federal policy
- Market demand for EE products/services due to rising energy prices & influence of policy
Projected 2010, 2015, and 2020 Weatherization Assistance Funding

Low vs. High growth scenarios – much of the future uncertainty depends on continued public support for these programs.
High Growth Scenario

Key Assumptions

• A quick ramp-up in 2010, reflecting the commitment of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds to weatherization assistance and other efficiency program activities.

• Significant increases in spending on energy efficiency over the next dozen years resulting from governmental policy and market investment in efficiency.

High EE growth scenario is more likely than low growth scenario due to the current political will to implement policies that address energy security, climate change, and job creation in activities that cannot be moved overseas.
EESS Workforce Size

Current Size:

- 114,000 person-years of employment (PYE)
- Approximately 380,000 individuals employed

Projected Size in 2020:

- Approximately 400,000 PYE (high-growth scenario)
- Up to 1.3 million individuals employed

→ A projected 2-fold (low-growth scenario) to 4-fold (high-growth scenario) increase in employment by 2020
Energy Efficiency Services Sector

Workforce Size: Current and projected levels of employment in PYE
Energy Efficiency Services Sector

Workforce Size: Current and projected levels of individuals employed
Breakdown of Current Jobs

~55% of current PYE – **Trades people and professionals** responsible for building envelope insulation and mechanical insulation.

~30% of current PYE - **Rate payer-funded energy efficiency** efforts, including the staffs of program administrators, implementation contractors; as well as the building and construction professionals and trades people that design and install the equipment leveraged by ratepayer-funded programs.

~10% of current PYE - **ESCO** efforts, including ESCO staff and the contractors they hire among the building and construction industry.

~5% of current PYE – **Weatherization assistance** efforts of the federal and state governments.
Lessons from Regional Case Studies
Regional Case Studies

We were able to draw lessons from four regional studies of EESS employers. The chart below shows the segments of the energy efficiency value chain covered by these employer surveys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Manufacturing &amp; Distribution</th>
<th>Planning &amp; Project Management</th>
<th>Consulting &amp; Auditing</th>
<th>Construction &amp; Installation</th>
<th>Evaluation Monitoring &amp; Verification</th>
<th>Operations &amp; Maintenance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EESS Workforce Study</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Survey</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Survey</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Northwest Survey</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut Survey</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Many Non EE-Specific Jobs in EESS Firms

Jobs that require specific training/education in energy efficiency services account for only a fraction of the total employees in EESS firms.

Employer data for eight specific energy efficiency occupations in California.

Data Source: California Community Colleges Centers of Excellence 2009
EESS has Aspects of a “Cottage Industry”

- Most firms providing energy efficiency services are extremely small (often under 10 people), with a few very large firms.

- >75% of firms in California, the Pacific Northwest and Massachusetts have 100 or fewer employees per firm, and at least 34% of EESS firms in each state have 10 or fewer employees.

- These employers tend to include a large number of small consulting firms and startups, and a few very large engineering firms and ESCOs.

Distribution of firm size among energy efficiency service providers in Massachusetts
Multi-State Operations

- Operations of EE services firms appear to frequently span more than one state. For example, in Massachusetts, firms indicated that only about 21% of their employees were based in Massachusetts.
  - This could be a regional phenomenon, given number of small states in New England and population density.

- Most of these multi-state firms are the large engineering and energy service companies.
High Expectations for Growth

Expectations for growth are high, particularly in the EE jobs portion of a firm’s business.

• Massachusetts
  – Most employers expect >10% growth in revenue and average 9% increase in employees in the next 12 months

• Pacific Northwest
  – Most employers expect revenues to grow at an annual rate of 5-7% over next 5 years

• California
  – Employers expect a 20% growth in energy efficiency-specific jobs in the next 12 months vs. 2% growth for all job categories

Actual revenue growth in 2007 and projected average annual revenue growth over next five years for Pacific Northwest energy efficiency service providers.
High Expectations for Growth

Job growth expectations in 12 months and 3 years for California employers.
EE Training Needed

- California
  - 56% to 73% of employers report “Great” or “Some” difficulty in hiring (depending on the job category)

- Pacific Northwest
  - 70% of employers “could not or sometimes could not find qualified applicants”

- Massachusetts
  - 24% of employers were not able to fill positions with qualified candidates
Key Insights & Challenges
Transforming Existing Jobs

Many jobs in the EESS are not new jobs, but rather jobs that need to evolve to provide more energy efficient versions of current (and future) products and services.

Two primary paths for entering the EESS workforce:

– **Existing occupations** (e.g., HVAC technicians, lighting contractors, construction trades, project managers) which are transformed into more energy efficiency-focused positions via retraining

– **Emerging occupations** that are somewhat unique to the EESS (e.g., home energy raters, commissioning services, energy/home performance services, energy auditors)
The EESS is Driven by Policy

Historically, a majority of the activity in the EESS is spurred directly and indirectly by government policies and ratepayer-funded programs.

Federal & State Programs, Policies
- Codes & standards
- State Energy Program
- Weatherization assistance
  - Enabling legislation for performance contracting in government buildings
    - Tax credits
    - EE R&D
- Rate-payer funded efficiency programs

EESS: Program administrators (PA), Program Implementation Contractors (PIC) Weatherization Agencies

EESS: Energy Service Companies (ESCO)

Retailers
EESS: Building & Construction Industry

Manufacturers
**Difficulty Hiring?**

*Respondents believe there are challenges hiring into the EESS for ANY position other than entry level.*

- Managers and engineers with experience in energy efficiency especially difficult to find
- Filling experienced positions often occurs by hiring from other firms
- Labor union respondents also report some difficulty recruiting qualified applicants into apprenticeship programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Some Difficulty</th>
<th>Great Difficulty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Operators or Building Engineers</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Conservation or Energy Efficiency Managers</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Managers for Construction or Design Work</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC Mechanics, Technicians or Installers</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Analysts or Energy Regulation Specialists</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Auditors or Home Energy Raters</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Performance or Retrofitting Specialists</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Controls Systems Technicians</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Difficulty in hiring for the 8 efficiency-specific occupations in California.
Enough Engineers?

The most likely source for new EESS engineers is to transition engineers from other fields into energy efficiency. But until energy engineering is recognized as an engineering discipline this may be difficult.

- Few engineers enter the field with EE experience
- The demand for engineers with knowledge of efficiency is currently met by hiring other types of engineers and training them on the job
- Many industries compete for engineering talent, and engineers often do not know the EESS field exists
30% of survey respondents indicated that it is as difficult to find experienced EE managers as engineering talent.

- The primary limitation on implementation contractor firm growth (or expanded program offerings for some administrators) is the lack of management-level applicants with EE experience.

- Experienced managers are vital mentors for the next generation of managers and staff.
**Ready for Growth?**

*Relatively low level of awareness in the building and construction industry about the potential for growth in the EESS*

- Pgm. Administrators estimated that their staff will grow ~19% by 2010
- Implementation Contractors estimated that their staff will grow ~64% by 2010

→ In contrast, less than 50% of 160 respondents that represented building and construction industry associations and trades could even estimate the percent of the current workforce that was involved in EE

The influence of energy efficiency on the building industry.
Aging Workforce?

Retirement is not currently a concern for program administrators or implementation contractors; however, the building and construction industry is facing substantial changes in the workforce due to retirements between 2015 and 2025.

Percent of staff likely to retire in next 5 years:
- Program Administrators – Minor issue for some (~15%)
- Implementation Contractors & Program Support Contractors – Not an issue (~5%)
- ESCOs – Not an issue (~5%)
- Building and Construction Industry – Growing concern (>35%)
Summary of Key Challenges

- Shortage of management-level applicants with experience in energy efficiency

- Shortage of experienced energy efficiency engineers

- Building and construction industry:
  - Limited awareness that the EESS is poised to expand significantly and their skills will be required
  - Retirement is a growing concern
  - Limited number of skilled trainers for EE
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