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• About the series and webinar housekeeping items – Lisa Schwartz, LBNL (5 min.)
• Presentation (40 min.) – Fritz Kahrl, E3 - lead author, and Andrew Mills, LBNL - author of distributed generation sections of report
• Q&A (15 min.)
A new series of reports from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory taps leading thinkers to grapple with complex regulatory issues for electricity. Unique point-counterpoint approach highlights different views on the future of electric utility regulation and business models and achieving a reliable, affordable, and flexible power system. Primary funder: DOE Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability - Electricity Policy Technical Assistance Program.

Reports published to date:
1. Distributed Energy Resources (DERs), Industry Structure and Regulatory Responses
3. Performance-Based Regulation in a High DER Future
4. Distribution System Pricing With DERs
5. Recovery of Utility Fixed Costs: Utility, Consumer, Environmental and Economist Perspectives

Additional reports forthcoming: feur.lbl.gov

Expert advisory group (next slide) provides guidance and review.
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- Janice Beecher, Institute of Public Utilities, Michigan State University
- Doug Benevento, Xcel Energy
- Ashley Brown, Harvard Electricity Policy Group
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- Ralph Cavanagh, Natural Resources Defense Council
- Steve Corneli, consultant
- Tim Duff, Duke Energy
- Commissioner Mike Florio, California Public Utilities Commission
- Peter Fox-Penner, Boston University Questrom School of Business
- Scott Hempling, attorney
- Val Jensen, Commonwealth Edison
- Steve Kihm, Seventhwave
- Commissioner Nancy Lange, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
- Kris Mayes, Arizona State University College of Law/Utility of the Future Center
- Jay Morrison, National Rural Electric Cooperative Association
- Allen Mosher, American Public Power Association
- Sonny Popowsky, Former consumer advocate of Pennsylvania
- Karl Rábago, Pace Energy & Climate Center, Pace University School of Law
- Rich Sedano, Regulatory Assistance Project
- Chair Audrey Zibelman, New York State Public Service Commission
- Peter Zschokke, National Grid
Webinar Housekeeping Items

• We’re recording the webinar and will post it on our web site.

• Because of the large number of participants, everyone is in listen mode only.

• Please use the chat box to send us your questions and comments any time during the webinar.

• Report authors will present for about 40 minutes.

• Moderated Q&A will follow, with the report authors responding to questions typed in the chat box.

• The report and webinar slides are posted at feur.lbl.gov
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The report and webinar slides are posted at feur.lbl.gov
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Key Questions

• How is electricity resource planning changing?
• How might it evolve over the next decade?
• What does this imply for state and federal regulators?
Approach

- Report examines emerging issues and evolving practices in five key areas:
  1) Central-scale generation
  2) Distributed generation
  3) Demand-side resources
  4) Transmission
  5) Uncertainty and risk
- Based on analysis, report distills key considerations for regulators
Scope

• U.S. electricity sector is complex, variety of planning contexts:
  – Vertically integrated utilities (RTO/ISO or non-RTO/ISO regions)
  – Restructured utilities with limited retail competition
  – Municipal utilities (RTO/ISO or non-RTO/ISO regions)
  – Electric cooperatives
  – Competitive retail providers
  – Federal power marketers
  – And more...

• Planning functions often divided among utilities, state agencies, RTOs/ISOs

• Report seeks to maintain broad perspective and relevance
Scope

- Analysis based on review of 10 resource plans:
  - 6 formal integrated resource plans (IRPs)
  - 3 long-term resource plans
  - 1 default service plan
  - Other state agency, RTO/ISO planning documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility</th>
<th>RTO/ISO Region</th>
<th>States Served</th>
<th>Plan Type</th>
<th>Plan Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duke Energy Carolinas (DEC)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>North Carolina, South Carolina</td>
<td>IRP</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Power and Light (FPL)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Long-range resource plan</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Power Company (GPC)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>IRP</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaiian Electric Companies</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>IRP</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PacifiCorp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>California, Idaho, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming</td>
<td>IRP</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Kentucky, Georgia, North Carolina, Virginia</td>
<td>IRP</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Role of Resource Planning

- Electricity infrastructure often has long lead times and lifetimes.
- Key goal of resource planning is to encourage prudent decisions that have long-term implications.
- Planning processes provide common reference point on possible futures.
Planning Tensions

• State vs. federal
  – Number of recent federal rules and regulations raise questions about state vs. federal jurisdiction:
    o Hughes vs. Talen
    o FERC Order 1000

(subject of forthcoming LBNL report)

• Planning vs. markets
  – Primarily an issue where utilities play more limited role in procurement (i.e., with competitive retail)
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Planning Paradigm Shift

- Six key factors driving major changes in electricity industry
- Changes have significant implications for resource planning, gradual paradigm shift

See “Additional Slides”
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Central-Scale Generation: Emerging Issues

• Greatest changes in planning practices for central-scale generation relate to wind and solar

• Different characteristics than other resources:
  – Physical (variable, uncertain)
  – Economic (high fixed cost, very low variable cost)

• Requires planning innovations

• See “Additional Slides”

---

**Lower Penetrations**
Understanding flexibility of existing system, value proposition of RE

**Higher Penetrations**
Making larger changes in operations, investments to accommodate RE

---

Energy Analysis and Environmental Impacts Division
Central-Scale Generation: Evolving Practices

• Key areas:
  – How utilities choose amount and composition of renewable resources
  – How utilities/RTOs assess operational impacts, incorporate into planning
  – How utilities/RTOs assess capacity credits and values for renewable energy

Emerging Best Practices

• Treating wind and solar generation in investment models as “selectable” resources
• Including more detailed operational characteristics in investment/procurement (expansion) models
• Using reliability-based approaches (e.g., ELCC) to determine capacity credit of wind and solar generation
• Coordinating planning across utilities and balancing areas

For examples, see DEC, NSP, PacifiCorp, TVA IRPs
Distributed Generation: Emerging Issues

- DG can have significant impact on system operations, need for and timing of investments in conventional generation and T&D infrastructure.
- Utilities have limited direct control over adoption.
- That said, utilities:
  - Do have some ability to target DG adoption
  - Can plan for DG uncertainty

![Figure shows net energy metering installations in MECO, HELCO, HECO from 2001 to 2015](image)

*In five years customers in MECO, HELCO, HECO install 246, 54, and 58 MW, respectively, of NEM DG (22%, 29%, 30% of 2013 system peak)*
Distributed Generation: Evolving Practices

• Key areas:
  – How utilities/RTOs are modeling DG adoption and its impact on bulk system planning variables
  – How utilities are valuing DG in resource plans
  – How utilities and regulators are comprehensively assessing DG impacts, beyond traditional resource planning

Emerging Best Practices

• Generating DG forecasts using models of customer adoption behavior in resource planning process
• Assessing locational value of DG, incorporating distribution deferral values in DG evaluation
• Making use of “triggers” and “signposts” to revisit plans if adoption is significantly different than anticipated

For examples, see CECONY, NSP, PacifiCorp, TVA plans; SCE DRPs
Demand-side Resources: Emerging Issues

• Value of demand-side resources (DERs)—EE, DR, storage—will likely increase over next decade
  – Driven by public policy goals

• New opportunities:
  – New kinds of DER resources (EVs, distributed storage), new IT, new business models

• However, DER planning often not well integrated into supply planning, not included in risk analysis
Demand-side Resources: Evolving Practices

• Key areas:
  – How DER planning is integrated into resource valuation and selection, including risk analysis
  – How retail rates and rate design impacts are incorporated into DER modeling, load forecasts

Emerging Best Practices

• Treating DERs as selectable resources in bulk expansion models
• Incorporating locational benefits of DERs in resource evaluations
• Integrating evaluation across DERs (including DG)
• Better understanding potential of price responsive loads (e.g., EVs, DG + storage), piloting retail rate designs to provide resource benefits

For examples, see CECONY’s IDSM tool, PacifiCorp and TVA plans, SCE DRP
Transmission: Emerging Issues

• Transmission provides a number of resource benefits
  – Lower costs for capacity, energy, and ancillary services, increased flexibility

• Value of transmission will also likely increase over next decade
  – Public policy goals

• Transmission planning generally not well integrated with resource planning
  – Different questions for RTO and non-RTO jurisdictions
Transmission: Evolving Practices

- Key areas:
  - How the capacity, energy, and flexibility benefits of transmission are valued in markets and planning tools
  - How alternatives to transmission are considered in transmission valuation and selection

Emerging Best Practices

- Evaluating multiple benefits of transmission (not just reliability or congestion)
- Incorporating value of reduced wind and solar curtailment
- Coordinating inputs in resource and transmission plans
- Undertaking, and potentially institutionalizing, non-wires alternatives analysis
Uncertainty and Risk: Emerging Issues

- Electricity industry has always faced uncertainty and managed risk
- Current levels of uncertainty akin to previous transition periods
  - Drivers of uncertainty often interrelated
- Regulators and utilities should be proactively managing risks

- Drivers of uncertainty:
  - Environmental regulations
  - Renewable technology costs and policy
  - Distributed energy resources
  - Load growth
  - Nuclear regulatory issues
  - Natural gas reliance and prices
  - Transmission siting and permitting
Uncertainty and Risk: Evolving Practices

• Key areas:
  – How utilities are incorporating risk into resource valuation and selection
  – How metrics are interpreted and incorporated into preferred plan

Emerging Best Practices

• Using quantitative risk analysis and risk-adjusted metrics in development of preferred resource portfolio
• Developing clear criteria for how risk-adjusted metrics will be used in evaluating different potential resource portfolios

For examples, see NSP, PacifiCorp, TVA IRPs
Risk Analysis

- Increased computing power allows screening of portfolios based on average cost and cost variance
  - Systematic sensitivity analysis on resource portfolios
- Selection of preferred portfolio still requires significant judgement

Which of these portfolios is preferred?
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Summary

• Electricity industry is changing, resource planning must evolve to keep pace
  – Evolution needs to be in form, function, and methods

• Planning innovations and best practices are emerging, regulators can encourage and support their use

• With better data, new methods, and more computing power, important not to lose sight of the role of judgement in planning
10 Considerations for Regulators

1) More integrated approaches to resource evaluation and acquisition
   - Value of integrated planning increases during transition periods

2) More comprehensive consideration of investment drivers
   - Shifting from reliability to reliability, environment, risk management

3) More accurate representation of solar and wind generation in resource planning models
   - Focus on uncertainty and operational detail, requires industry-wide effort
10 Considerations for Regulators

4) Greater attention in resource planning to customer behavior, retail rate designs and the distribution system
   - New opportunities for reducing utility costs and risks, but also new sources of uncertainty and risk

5) Risk analysis and use of risk-adjusted metrics
   - Attention to methods and how analysis and metrics are used in portfolio selection
10 Considerations for Regulators

6) Balancing precision and transparency in planning models
   - Intuition is still critical, still need back-of-the-envelope analysis and simpler analytical tools

7) Coherence between planning and long-term policies and regulations
   - For utilities, understanding costs and non-compliance risks, emphasis on transition

8) Deeper expertise at state regulatory commissions and energy agencies
   - Agencies dealing with more complex technical issues, building expertise may require high-level policy support
9) Exploring new opportunities for information sharing and collaboration
   - Diversity of inputs and practices, some convergence would be beneficial

10) Regional coordination in resource planning
    - Value of coordination and cooperation increases in transition periods
Questions?

Please use the chat box to send us your questions and comments.

The report and webinar slides are posted at feur.lbl.gov
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Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
(510) 486-6315
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Additional Slides
Environmental Policies

• Air quality
  – Mercury and Air Toxics Standards
  – Regional Haze Rule
  – Cross-State Air Pollution Rule

• Water quality
  – Coal Combustion Residuals Rule
  – Clean Water Intake Structures

• Climate change
  – Carbon Pollution Standards for New, Modified and Reconstructed Power Plants
  – Clean Power Plan
Natural Gas Prices

- Natural gas prices currently at historic lows
- Industry becoming increasingly reliant on natural gas generation
- How should natural gas prices be incorporated in resource plans?

Figures show EIA 2015 and 2016 AEO Henry Hub gas price forecasts
Renewable Energy Technology Costs

- Technology costs ($/kW) for solar have fallen dramatically, wind costs have also come down.
- Will these trends continue?
- At what point do we change how we think about them as a resource?

*Figure shows solar PPA prices from 2006 to 2015, based on LBNL sample, from Tracking the Sun.*
• Industry has seen steady decline in sales since 1970s, but now potentially negative
• How do flat/declining sales affect resource decisions?

Figures shows U.S. electricity industry annual sales growth and decadal averages; data are from EIA
Questions for Higher Renewable Penetrations

- Higher penetrations of solar and wind change scope of relevant resource planning questions

Is there flexibility in neighboring systems to absorb additional imports?

Are there cost-effective investments that will reduce curtailment?

Is there more flexibility in current system (e.g., in scheduling, reserves)

Figure is from E3’s Western Interconnection Flexibility Assessment, https://www.wecc.biz/Administrative/Flexibility%20Study%20-%20E3.pdf